

State Vs. Arjun @ Prem & Ors.
CNR No.: DLCT01-013158-2024
SC No. 561/2024
FIR No. 161/2024
U/s 413/120B/34 IPC & 25/54/59 Arms Act
PS Kamla Market

10.08.2026

Present : Mr. Amit Dabas, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. Kamaldeep, Ld. Counsel for accused persons,
namely, Arjun @ Prem, Himanshu @ Mota and
Ruksar.
Mr. Anis Mohd., Ld. Counsel for the accused Jagan
Nath Kumar. (*through video conferencing*)
Accused Ruksar and Jagan Nath Kumar Yadav are
present.
Accused Arjun @ Prem is not present. (exempted
today)
Accused, namely, Himanshu @ Mota has not been
produced from judicial custody.
IO ASI Pramod Meena is not present.

Accused Himanshu @ Mota not produced from
judicial custody. It has been brought to the notice of this Court
that in view of letter No. 9246/HAC&J(AC-IV)/NAV DAP dated
06.08.2026 received from Dy. Commissioner of Police, NAV
DAP, New Delhi, the inmates would not be produced before the
Court from 08.08.2026 to 16.08.2026, due to unavailability of
escort guards as they are deployed in Independence Day-2026
celebration arrangements.

Ld. Counsel submits that accused is in judicial
custody in FIR No. 94/2026, PS Nabi Karim.

While it is trite that accused Himanshu @ Mota is
not being produced physically today, however, why accused
Himanshu @ Mota has not been produced from judicial custody
through video conferencing, the same is not clear. Accordingly,
issue notice to the concerned Jail Superintendent to furnish an

Contd..2/-

explanation as to why accused Himanshu @ Mota was not produced from jail through video conferencing. **A copy of this order be marked to the concerned Jail Superintendent.**

Report dated/signed 03.07.2026 has been received from Dy. Superintendent, CJ-10, Rohini, Delhi. As per said report, accused Arjun @ Prem was lodged in judicial custody in case FIR No.94/2026, PS Nabi Karim and other cases, however, on 10.06.2026 he had been released on bail from judicial custody. As per PMS record, the said accused has not been re-admitted in the said jail till 02.07.2026.

Request for exemption is made by / on behalf of accused Arjun @ Prem on medical grounds. For the reasons stated therein, the exemption is allowed for today only.

Supplementary chargesheet placed before the Court. Ld. Counsels for all accused persons submit that accused have duly received copy of supplementary chargesheet.

At request, part arguments on charge have been addressed by the Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as by the Ld. Counsel for the accused persons.

Re-list the matter for further arguments on charge on **03.11.2026.**

Issue notice to the concerned Jail Superintendent to produce the accused, namely, **Himanshu @ Mota**, *physically*, before this Court on the next date of hearing.

Ankit Mehta
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
10.08.2026