

Misc No. 267/21

Psychotropic India Ltd Vs. Syncom Healthcare Ltd.

03.09.2022

Present: Sh. Pushkar Karni Sinha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Ms. Radhika Arora, Ld. counsel for Sh. Ashok Mittal, Ld.
Counsel for the non-applicant.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed Memo of Appearance and further undertakes to file ***Vakalatnama*** on or before the NDOH.

It is submitted by the proxy counsel for the non-applicant that the main counsel Sh. Ashok Mittal is in personal difficulty as he has gone out of station.

It is seen from the record that two replies to the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC are filed on record by the non-applicant.

It is submitted by the Ld. counsel for the non-applicant that one of the reply pertains to the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC filed on behalf of M/s Novita Healthcare Pvt. Ltd and the said reply has been flagged.

Let the said reply be tagged after the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC which is directed to be registered separately as per the directions passed in **Misc No. 132/22 titled as Psychotropic India Ltd Vs. Syncom Healthcare Ltd.**

List for arguments on **23.11.2022 at 2:00 PM.**

(Ajay Gulati - II)
ADJ- 01 (Central),
THC, Delhi, 03.09.2022