

Bail Matter No. 1618/2026
State Vs. Vikash
FIR No. 262/2026
PS Bhalswa Dairy
U/s.109(1) BNS

24.07.2026

This is an application under Section 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail moved on behalf of the accused / applicant namely Vikash.

Present: Dr. Sarita Rani, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Shakti Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant through VC.

Father of the accused / applicant in person.

Complainant / victim in person.

SI Rajesh Kumar on behalf of the IO is present.

Reply to the above said application filed.

1. It was argued by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that accused / applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case as the accused / applicant has no role in the commission of the alleged offence. It was further argued that the accused /applicant has been running in JC since 22.05.2026. It was further argued by the Ld. Counsel for the accused / applicant that as per the opinion of the doctor, the injury caused to the complainant / victim is simple. It was argued by the Ld. counsel for the accused / applicant that the investigation in the present case has already been completed and charge-sheet has already been filed, hence, applicant / accused is no longer required for further custodial interrogation. It was

argued further that the accused / applicant is a young boy of aged about 19 years and he is not having any previous involvement in any other matter. It is further argued that no purpose would be served to keep the accused in custody. That accused is ready to abide by all the terms and conditions. It is prayed that a lenient view be taken.

2. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and argued that allegations against the applicant are serious in nature and if applicant is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and he may also jump the bail and prayer is made for dismissal of the bail application.

3. As per report filed by the IO, it was stated by him that the chargesheet has already been filed. It was further reported that the accused /applicant has not previously involved in other cases.

4. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and Ld. Addl. PP for the State as well as perused the report filed by the IO.

5. The Chargesheet has already been filed as per reported by the IO. The accused / applicant is a young boy of aged about 19 years and there is no previous involvement of the accused /applicant in any other case as per reported filed by the IO. Further, the injuries caused to the victim complainant is opined to be simple. The trial shall take its own course, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused behind the bars. Considering all the facts and circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, applicant/accused **is hereby enlarged on**

bail on furnishing of bail bond for a sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, who is permanent resident of Delhi/NCR, to the satisfaction of the court/concerned Ld. MM/Link MM/Duty MM but subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The applicant/accused shall attend the court proceedings regularly.
- (b) That in case of change of his residential address, he shall intimate the Court about the same.
- (c) The accused shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
- (d) The accused shall not indulge into similar offence in the event of release on bail.
- (e) The applicant/accused shall not try to contact or influence the witnesses, in any manner, directly or indirectly.

Application stands disposed off.

6. *It is clarified that nothing stated herein shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.*

7. Copy of the order be given *dasti* to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant as well as be sent to concerned IO, concerned Jail Superintendent, Ld. Secretary, DLSA (North), through all possible modes, as per rules.

(VANDANA)
Addl. Sessions Judge-02(North)
Rohini Courts Delhi
24.07.2026