

5 SC 517-2024
STATE Vs. FAGNU RAM
FIR NO. 35/2024
PS Crime Branch

19.05.2026

Present : Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Mohd. Haider Ayyoob, & Dilshad Ahmed, Ld.
Proxy Counsels for the accused Fagnuram.
Sh. Nikhil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Rajeev Vinod Khosla.
Accused persons are absent.

For the reasons, mentioned in the application seeking exemption from appearance, filed on behalf of accused Fagnuram, the appearance of accused Fagnuram is exempted from today.

Oral request has been made for exemption from appearance of accused Rajeev Vinod Khosla.

Allowed.

Both accused persons are directed to appear in persons on NDOH.

Matter is fixed for consideration on point of charge qua the accused persons.

Respective counsels for the accused persons have argued that given the facts of the subject FIR, there is not enough material on record to frame charges in respect of conspiracy between the accused persons and therefore, no charge U/s 29 of NDPS Act can be framed against the accused persons.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the State submits that the prosecution has placed on record sufficient material to frame charges U/s 20 & section 29 of NDPS Act.

At this stage, some time has been sought on behalf

of accused persons for filing written submissions on the aspect of discharge of accused persons qua the alleged offence of conspiracy and in respect of offence punishable U/s 20 NDPS Act.

Allowed.

Let the written submissions be filed within a period of 10 days.

To come up for order in respect of charge on 11.07.2026.

(Puneet Nagpal)
Special Judge, NDPS,
Central, THC, Delhi/19.05.2026