

OMP (I) (Comm.) 561/2026
M/s Protium Finance Ltd. vs. M/s J. D. Enterprises

15.07.2026

Present: Sh. Puneet Raj Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has filed the affidavit regarding the service on the respondent and submitted that the respondent has been served at one of the addresses of the respondent but the process issued at the office address of the respondent received back unserved.
2. Heard and perused the record.
3. The respondent has already been served at one of the addresses, as mentioned in the memo of parties. My attention has been drawn towards the paper book and it was pointed out that as per the Aadhar Card filed by the petitioner, the said address belongs to respondent. Hence, this is the valid service on the respondent.
4. Now at request put up for arguments on the present petition on **30.07.2026**.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
15.07.2026