

**IN THE COURT OF SH. MUKESH KUMAR : DISTRICT
JUDGE (COMM)-11, CENTRAL, TIS HAZARI COURTS,
DELHI.**

Bail Matter No. 3807/26

State vs. Rohit

FIR No. 641/2026

U/s. 306/3(5) of BNS

PS Karol Bagh

10th June, 2026

Present: Sh. Kumar Sanjay, Id. Addl. PP for State.
Ms. Sukanya Hazarika, Id. Counsel for
applicant/accused through V.C.
Sh. Sahil and Ms. Sakshi, Id. Counsels for
complainant.
IO HC Lokender in person.

1. Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of complainant. Same is taken on record.
2. This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail moved by the accused u/s. 482 bnss (438 Cr. P.C.) on behalf of accused Rohit son of Sh. Ramesh.
3. In this application, it is submitted by counsel for accused that the accused is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case by the complainant. It is further stated that the applicant was employed with the complainant company for around three and half years and during his service tenure, the complainant company opened a bank account in the name of

applicant and started routing the business transactions through the said account. It is further submitted that the complainant company with ulterior motives adopted the practices of receiving payments from various customers from the applicant account in order to evade the statutory liability including GST dues. It is further submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail. It is further submitted that the accused is ready and willing to join the investigation with the IO. His earlier application was dismissed as withdrawn on 26.09.2025 before the Id. ASJ-02, Central, THC, Delhi.

4. Detailed reply to the application has been filed by the IO. In the reply, it is submitted by the IO that the present case has been registered on the complaint of complainant for theft of around Rs. 30 Lacs. The applicant was employed with the complainant and during the course of his employment, the complainant company came to know that there is misappropriation of funds and caused the loss to the tune of around Rs. 30 Lacs. Rs. 13 Lacs have been shown in the account of the accused Rohit. It is submitted by Id. Addl. PP for State that the accused is not cooperating in the investigation and he visited the Police Station alongwith his mother on receipt of notice but run away without joining the investigation.

5. Bail application has been strongly opposed by the Id. Addl. PP for State on the ground the accused has committed criminal breach of trust and theft and prays for dismissal of the present bail application.

6. I have heard the arguments at length and gone through the record carefully.

7. Perusal of the record reveals that the complaint was registered on 25.08.2025 by the complainant with the Police Station Karol Bagh and the accused moved the application for grant of anticipatory bail which was dismissed as withdrawn. The FIR in this case has been registered on 01.06.2026 after a gap of around more than 8 months. The applicant is ready and willing to join the investigation with the IO on 11.06.2026 at around 11.00 a.m. and IO to file report of further investigation on 06.07.2026. Till then, the accused shall not be arrested.

7. Accordingly, the application be put up on 06.07.2026.

(MUKESH KUMAR)
Vacation Judge/DJ (Comm)-11,
Central, Tis Hazari Courts.
10.06.2026