



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT,
KANCHEEPURAM

PRESENT: **Thiru. S. Nagarajan., M.L.,**
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District & Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram.

Wednesday the 5th day of August 2026

Crl.M.P.No.1670/2026

Gopu, aged 40 years
S/o. Vedachalam
No.317, Main Road Street, Siurunkozhi Village and Post
Uthiramerur Taluk
Kancheepuram District.

..Petitioner/Accused

// Vs //

State: Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Uthiramerur Police Station
Kancheepuram District.
Cr.No. 175/2026

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for final hearing today which was filed by M/s A. Karunanidhi, A. Ravichandran, S. Santhanakrishnan, M. Saravanan, Abishek Baskaran, Counsels appearing for the petitioner and the reply submitted by the police through Mr. V. Bharathi the Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent, upon hearing the arguments from the counsels on both sides, upon perusing the entire records of the case, this Court passed the following:-

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for alleged offences under sections 326(a), 303(2) of BNS has filed the present petition seeking anticipatory bail.

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused has filed the present petition for anticipatory bail application on the ground that no offence as stated by the



prosecution has taken place and that a false case has been foisted upon him. He would state that he is ready to co-operate with the investigation agency. On these grounds he would pray for grant of anticipatory bail.

The learned Public prosecutor on behalf of the respondent police would strongly oppose for the grant of anticipatory bail on the ground that the petitioner/accused is said to have transported one units of sand worth about Rs. 10,000/- without any proper permission due to which the present came to be registered. He would state that there are three previous cases pending against him. He would state that the investigation is still pending. He would state that the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court on 27.07.2026 in Crl.M.P.No. 1520/2026. On these grounds he would strongly oppose for grant of anticipatory bail.

Heard the both counsels concerned and perused the relevant records. It is seen that the vehicle and the property has already seized by the respondent police and the petitioner/accused is ready to co-operate with the investigation agency. Hence, considering the facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant bail on condition. The petitioner/accused shall deposit a cash of Rs. 10,000/- to the credit of the Crime No. 175/2026 before the Mines and Minerals (geology)Department, Collectorate, Kancheepuram. Hence the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of this order, before the District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthiramerur on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate concerned may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank



Pass Book to confirm their identity.

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders and the petitioner/accused shall deposit a cash of Rs. 10,000/- to the credit of the Crime No. 175/2026 before the Mines and Minerals (geology)Department, Collectorate, Kancheepuram.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence/hamper investigation and shall not influence the witness(es) in any manner either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, the prosecution shall be at liberty to register a fresh FIR under Section 269 of BNS.

//This order has been dictated by me to the Stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the Court on this Wednesday the 5th day of August 2026.//

Sd./- S. Nagarajan

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Court ,
Kancheepuram.

To

1. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Uthiramerur
2. The Inspector of Police, Uthiramerur Police Station
3. The Mines and Minerals Department, Collectorate, Kancheepuram
4. The Public Prosecutor
5. The Counsel for Petitioner.

// True copy//