

M CrI. 338/2024

Mahesh Gupta V. Vimal Manchanda@Vimal Kumar & Ors.

FIR No. 128/2018

PS: DBG ROAD

26.09.2025

Present: Sh. Manish Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ms. Mallika Chadha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
complainant (*through VC*).
Sh. Nagender Pratap, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1.
Sh. Kharanshu Rana, Ld. Counsel for respondent No. 3
(*through VC*).

The process of respondent No.2 Vijay Manchanda received back unserved with the report that he has shifted from the said address after selling it and at the new address i.e. 'C-24, 2nd Floor, Geetanjali Enclave, Malviya Nagar, Delhi' his driver Ajay has received the process.

Respondent No.1 Vimal Manchanda has also shifted from his given address after selling it and at the new address which is same as that of respondent No. 3, his driver Ajay has received the process. It appears that both respondent No.1 and No.2 has shifted from their last known address.

At this stage, Sh. Nagender Pratap, Ld. Counsel appearing for respondent No.1 submits that he is appearing on behalf of both the respondents i.e. No.1 Vimal Manchanda @ Vimal Kumar and No. 2 Vijay Manchanda. However, neither vakalatnama nor Memo of

Appearance is filed. It appears that both the respondents have left from the address given before the present Court and thereby they have violated the conditions of bail order.

In view of the same, **issue bailable warrants in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- against both the respondents with one surety each in the like amount for the NDOH. IO in the matter be also summoned to explain about whether the new addresses were disclosed by the respondent No.1 and No.2 at the PS or not.**

Reply of the respondent No. 3 Amardeep Singh is already available on record which applicant / complainant has not collected and now the applicant is not permitted to say that he has not received the copy of the reply. *The applicant is at liberty to collect the same from the record within 03 working days from tomorrow.*

Ld. Counsel for respondent No.1 and 2 submits that he has not received the copy of this petition under Section 340 Cr.P.C. Further, he has furnished his Whatsapp number i.e. 9718431529 and *at this number applicant may supply soft copy of this petition.* Ld. Counsel for respondent No.1 and 2 has further furnished the address of his chamber i.e. Chamber number No. 301, Western Wing, THC, Delhi. *Let copy of petition be supplied to respondent No.1 and 2 through their counsel within 02 working days from tomorrow, failing which, petition against the respondent No.1 and 2 deemed to be dismissed for non supply of copies.*

It is brought to the notice by Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.3 that he has been incorrectly impleaded in M.Crl. No. 338/2024 though his petition is only against respondent No.1.

It is noted that applicant/ complainant has filed incorrect Memo of Parties which should not be filed at the first instance. He is directed to file correct Memo of Parties in both the petition. Same be corrected within 02 working days from tomorrow, failing which the petition will be dismissed for non-compliance. Whatsapp number is stated by Ld. counsel for the respondent No. 3 is 8586881752. Advance copy of Memo of Parties be supplied to respondents through their counsel.

Reply be filed by respondent no.1 and 2 at least 02 days prior from NDOH with advance copy to applicant/ complainant on her Whatsapp No. 9999313638 as disclosed today before the Court.

Parties who do not comply, shall ensue closure of their opportunities / dismissal of the petition. Accordingly, put up for compliance and arguments on the petition after completion of the pleadings on 28.10.2025.

(JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR)
Additional Sessions Judge (FTC-01)
Tis Hazari Court/Delhi/26.09.2025