

SC 385-2021
STATE Vs. MANOJ SHOKEEN
FIR No. 333 /2018
(Nangloi)

20.11.2024

Present : Sh. Shiv Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Binay Kumar, AR of the complainant
company with Ld. Counsel Ms. Shruti Bhasin.
Accused no.1 namely Manoj Shokeen in person.
Sh. Ritik Duggal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused
no.1 (through VC).
Accused No.2 namely Dharam Chand with Ld.
Proxy Counsel Sh. Ujjwal Tripathi.
**Accused no.3 already declared PO vide order
dated 05.04.2022.**
IO ASI Sunil Kumar in person.

Matter is fixed today for the purpose of arguments
on the applications u/s 311 Cr.P.C and u/s 216 Cr.P.C moved on
behalf of the State.

Notice issued to the IO and SHO received back
served.

A request has been received on behalf of SHO PS
Nangloi seeking some more time for filing explanation qua filing
of supplementary charge sheet without registration of FIR.

Perused.

**Let notice be issued to the SHO concerned to appear
in person and file his explanation on the next date of hearing.**

**IO also seeks some time for filing the reply. IO is
bound down for the next date of hearing.**

Accordingly, put up for filing reply by the IO and
SHO as well as for arguments on the applications on **16.12.2024.**

(Rakesh Kumar-IV)
ASJ (Electricity) - 01,
Central/Tis Hazari Courts/Extn. Block/
Delhi/20.11.2024 (ts)