

SC 385/2021
STATE Vs. MANOJ SHOKEEN
FIR No. 333 /2018 (Nangloi)

21.11.2023

Present : Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Binay Kumar, AR for complainant company
with Ld. counsel Sh. Dhruv Sehrawat.
Sh. Kapil Chaudhary, Proxy counsel for accused
no.1 alongwith accused no.1 in person.
Accused no.2 in person alongwith Ld. counsel Sh.
Rakesh Tanwar.
Sh. C.P Malik, Ld. counsel for accused no.2
(through VC).
Accused no.3 already declared PO vide order dt
05.04.2022.
IO ASI Sunil Kumar in person.

Reply to the application u/s 311 Cr.PC has been filed
by Ld. proxy counsel for accused no.1. However, he submits that
they do not want to file any reply to the application u/s 216
Cr.PC as they have no concern to the said application.

Ld. counsel for accused no.2 seeks some time to file
reply to the application moved u/s 216 Cr.PC. He further submits
that as far as application u/s 311 Cr.PC is concerned, he rely on
the reply filed by accused no.1 to the said application.

Accordingly, put up for filing reply to the
application by accused no.2 and arguments on both the
applications now on **05.12.2023**.

IO ASI Sunil Kumar is bound down for the NDOH.

(Vikrant Vaid)
ASJ (Electricity) – 01,
Central/ Tis Hazari Courts/ Delhi
21.11.2023