

Bail Matter: 1115/2026
FIR No. : 82/2026
PS: Crime Branch
State Vs. Shravan Kumar

03.06.2026

Present: Sh. Himanshu Garg, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Abhishek, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
IO/SI Inderveer PS Crime Branch.

Reply filed. Copy supplied.

With consent arguments heard.

Put up for orders.

(ANKUR JAIN-I)
ASJ-04/Central/Delhi.
03.06.2026

ORDER :-

1. Ld. counsel for the applicant has argued that recovery has been effected and accused is ready and willing to join the investigation. It is submitted that the name of the applicant has come up in the disclosure statement of co-accused persons. It is submitted that applicant may be granted anticipatory bail.
2. On the other hand Ld. Addl.PP for the State submits that applicant was supplying fake packing material to the accused Rajender and Bharat. It is submitted that what-app conversations clearly proved this facts and at this stage no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail.
3. I have heard Ld. Counsels for the parties and perused the record.

4. The brief facts of the case are that a complaint was received from one Pankaj Singh who works for EIPR Company and looks for counterfeit product of TVS Motor Company which are sold in the open market. The FIR further reveals that a raiding party was constituted and reached in the area of Karol bagh where premises were raided duly identified by Pankaj Singh. During the raid counterfeit products of TVS Motor was stored, the godown belonged to Rajinder Singh who was also found at the spot. Another godown was raided where Bharat Choudhary was found who was also dealing in counterfeit products of TVS Motor company. CDR of the Rajinder Singh clearly reveals that he was in constant touch with the present applicant. IO has placed on record screenshots of the fake material which has been sought by one of the persons from the present applicant. At this stage considering the overall facts no ground is made out to admit the applicant on anticipatory bail as the manufacturer, printer and suppliers are to be identified who were involved in the network. The applicant has also not join the investigation despite service of notice u/ 35.3 BNS.

5. Application stands dismissed. Copy of the order be given dasti to the counsel for the applicant.

(ANKUR JAIN-I)
ASJ-04/Central/Delhi.
03.06.2026