

16.07.2025

CS No.554/22  
Atul Chhatwal vs. Rajeev Rawal and anr.

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.  
Proxy counsel for the defendant no. 1.

As recorded vide order dated 04.07.2025, an application seeking change of date has been filed by the counsel for the defendants and accordingly notice of the said application was issued to the plaintiff . However, the defendant has not taken any steps to get the said notice served upon the plaintiff.

Today, the defendant appearing in person submits that his counsel is busy and as mentioned in his application has to go for physiotherapy.

The application is not opposed to by the counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has filed on record the reply to the amendment application as filed by the defendant. Copies of the same have been confirmed to have been received by the proxy counsel for the defendant.

Proxy counsel for the defendant submits that a week's time maybe granted so as to enable to argue his application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC.

At request, renotify on **24.07.2025 at 12:30 PM.**

Sachin Sood  
DJ-01, Central,  
THC, 16.07.2025