

Regular Bail Applications
FIR No. 184/25, State Vs. Sabir Ahmad
PS Jama Masjid, U/s 20/29 NDPS Act

06.02.2026

These are two similar applications moved U/s 483 of BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of regular bail to the applicant/ accused Sabir Ahmad.

Present: Sh. Vishal Gupta, Ld. Subst. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Vineet Chawla and Mr. Tahir Ali, Ld. counsels
for the applicant/ accused.
IO SI Himanshi in person.

Reply to the bail applications filed. Copy supplied.

It is observed that there are two bail applications of present applicant/ accused Sabir Ahmad in the present FIR.

Ld. counsel Sh. Vineet Chawla submits that the applicant/ accused Sabir Ahmad has handed over one affidavit to him to the effect that he will represent the said accused during the present bail proceedings.

He has filed attested copy of affidavit of applicant/ accused on record.

Arguments heard.

Ld. counsels for the applicant/ accused submit that the applicant/ accused has been falsely implicated in this case and case property is planted upon him.

They further submit that the alleged quantity of Ganja recovered from the applicant/ accused is of small quantity. They further submit that co-accused Saboo from whose possession, 14.7 Kg. Ganja was recovered, has already been admitted to bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi way back in 2025. They further submit that co-accused Dilshad has already been admitted to bail by this Court.

Contd/-...

In view of abovesaid, they request that the accused/ applicant may be admitted to bail.

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the state as well as IO have vehemently opposed the bail application stating that total 15.74 Kg. Ganja was recovered, which is an intermediate quantity. They further submit that evidence is yet to be recorded, therefore, they request that the bail applications should be dismissed.

Submissions considered. Record perused.

Considering the quantity recovered from the personal possession of the applicant/ accused and the fact that other co-accused persons have already been admitted to bail, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant/ accused in JC. In the overall facts and circumstances of the case, both bail applications of the applicant/ accused are allowed and applicant/ accused **Sabir Ahmad** is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety in the like amount and subject to condition that :-

- (i) That applicant/ accused shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to the complainant or any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (ii) That applicant/ accused shall upon his release provide his mobile number to the IO/ SHO and keep his such mobile 'Switch On' at all times.
- (iii) That applicant/ accused shall intimate to the IO/ SHO about any change in his mobile number or address;

Contd/-...

(iv) The applicant/ accused will not influence the witnesses nor tamper with any evidence;

(v) That applicant/ accused shall not leave the country without permission of the Court;

(vi) That applicant/ accused shall appear on each and every date of hearing before the Court.

Both applications stand **disposed of** accordingly.

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the IO as well as to the Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused and be communicated to the applicant/ accused through Jail Superintendent for information.

(Virender Singh)
Special Judge, NDPS,
Central, THC, Delhi/06.02.2026