

FIR No. 264/17
State Vs. Furkan etc.
PS : Darya Ganj

01.02.2019

Present: Sh. Ragib Gayyur, counsel for accused-applicant Harun.

Sh. Wasi-Ur-Rehman, Addl. PP for State.

B. A. No 22/19 of Harun accused

Learned counsel for accused-applicant submits that co-accused Rahim Chaudhary and Furkan have already been allowed bail and that complainant having not identified the accused-applicant with certainty, while making statement in Court, as one of the offenders, he too be allowed bail, particularly when he is in custody for the last one year.

Undisputedly, during trial, regarding identity of accused-applicant, statement of complainant has already been recorded. Statements of only some of police witnesses remain to be recorded. Accused-applicant is in JC w.e.f. 15.12.2017.

In the given situation, keeping in view that two co-accused, named above, have already been

allowed bail, on the same ground, accused-applicant **Harun is also ordered to be released on bail on furnishing personal bond and surety bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each.**

Bail application stands disposed of.

(Narinder Kumar)
Additional Sessions Judge (Central)
Tis Hazari Court:Delhi
01.02.2019