

SC 424/2023
STATE Vs. RAHUL @ LANGDA
FIR No. 358/2023 PS Sarai Rohilla

06.06.2025

Present: Shri K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP (Substitute) for State.
Accused Rahul on bail in person, with Ld. Counsel Shri Kabir Ahmed.
IO ASI Rajeev Kumar in person.

File perused.

Today the matter is fixed for arguments/framing of charge.

On perusal of judicial file, it is observed that there were two accused persons.

On query, IO submits that during investigation, he came to know about the address of co-accused Imran. His wife also met him at his house and one mobile phone i.e. the case property was recovered from the house of accused Imran. On further query, he submits that accused Imran was declared PO, however, on perusal of judicial file, it is observed that no supplementary charge-sheet qua accused Imran is on record.

On further query, IO submits that the mobile phone was found on the bed at the house of accused Imran. On further query, IO submits that the accused Imran was not available at that time and his wife was present.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, it is clear that the investigation is not proper. IO has not pursued the investigation and SHO & ACP concerned have not supervised the investigation.

Issue notice to IO, SHO and ACP to appear in person on the next date and explain as to why action should not be recommended against them and also suppose to explain as to why the wife of accused Imran has not been charge-sheeted even when the stolen property was recovered at her instance.

Put up for their appearance and for further proceedings on
20.08.2025.

(VIRENDER SINGH)
Special Judge (NDPS)-02,
Central, THC, Delhi/06.06.2025_(vp)