

Ct. Cases 526/2025

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LIMITED Vs. KESHO DEVI (Punjabi
Bagh)

21.04.2026

Present : Sh. Binay Kumar, AR for complainant company.
Accused no. 1 with Ld. Counsel Sh. Rajesh Sahani.
None for accused no. 2.

Bail application not filed on behalf of accused no. 1.

AR for the complainant submits that as the **accused no. 2 Ram Narayan** has already vacated the premises as per report on the summons, he has no objection if proceedings against accused no. 2 may be dropped.

Separate statement of AR for the complainant is recorded in this regard.

Heard. In view of the statement made by the AR for the complainant, **proceedings qua accused no. 2 stands dropped.**

Arguments on the point of notice stand heard qua accused no. 1.

After considering the allegations contained in the complaint case and the documents including Inspection Report, Load Report, Seizure memo, CD containing videography of the inspection allegedly carried out at the site, etc., Court is of the view that prima facie case is made out against the accused for the offence punishable under section 135 of Electricity Act. Accordingly, notice in terms of Section 274 BNSS framed against accused for said offence, to which accused pleaded not guilty and has claimed trial.

Put up for PE on **16.07.2026.**

(Rakesh Kumar-IV)
ASJ (Electricity) – 01,
Central/ Tis Hazari Courts/ Extn. Block
21.04.2026 (sy)