

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC

10.11.2025

File taken up today on an application under section 483 BNSS seeking grant of regular bail, moved on behalf of applicant /accused **Mohit S/o Sh. Randhir**

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Complainant alongwith Ld. Counsel Sh. Vipin Kumar.
Sh. Sumit Shokeen, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.
IO/SI Rakesh in person.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that applicant/accused is in JC since 23.02.2024 and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has no role whatsoever either in the offences as alleged in the above mentioned FIR or with any of the co-accused. It is further submitted that there is not even a single circumstantial evidence against the applicant/accused herein which could even remotely connect the applicant/accused with the alleged offences or with any of the co-accused persons. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating was recovered or seized in the present case either from the applicant/accused or at his instance. It is further submitted that in the present case co-accused persons have already been granted bail. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

Continued to page no. 2

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

Complainant Sh. Vikas S/o. Sh. Vijay Kumar has appeared before the Court alongwith his Counsel and he has made submissions to the effect that he has no objection if the present bail application move on behalf of applicant/accused **Mohit** is allowed. Complainant Sh. Vikas S/o. Sh. Vijay Kumar has been identified by IO/SI Rakesh in the Court today.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed before the same.

Briefly stated, the present FIR bearing no. 186/2024 has been registered at PS Alipur U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC. Applicant/accused **Mohit** is in JC since 23.02.2024 i.e. for a period of about one year and eight months. As already discussed above, Complainant Sh. vikas has already given statement that he has no objection, if the present bail application is allowed, therefore, it is ordered that applicant/accused **Mohit** be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.

SC 392/2024
STATE Vs. ROHIT@LADDU and Ors.
FIR No. 186/2024
PS Alipur
U/s. 307/120B/34 IPC

30,000/- with one surety in the like amount **subject to the satisfaction of following conditions:-**

- (i) Applicant/accused is directed not to threaten prosecution witnesses in any manner or tamper with evidence or indulge in any other criminal activity in future;
- (ii) The applicant shall join the investigation as and when called by IO and he shall also appear before the court on each and every date of hearing;

In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled on an application of investigating agency in this regard.

The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case. **Application stand disposed of. Bail application file be tagged with the main case file. Copy of the order be given dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant/accused .**

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
10.11.2025