

State Vs. Rahul @ Ganja & Ors.

CNR No.: DLCT01-004825-2022

SC No. 138/2022

FIR No. 315/21

P.S. Paharganj

Under Sections 147/148/149/307/326/34/174A IPC

& 25/27/54/59 Arms Act

09.11.2023

Present : Mr. Amit Dabas, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Ms. Suman Sharma, Proxy Advocate for Mr. Harsh Hardy, Advocate for the accused, namely, Gajender Singh @ Banner.
The accused, namely, Bhupender Singh is present.

Request for exemption is made by / on behalf of PW SI Kuldeep Singh on the ground of ill-health of his wife. For the reasons stated therein, the exemption is allowed for today only.

The matter is passed-over as PW Ct. Sumit and HC Kuldeep Kumar are not present.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
09.11.2023

It is 12.10 p.m.

Present : Mr. Amit Dabas, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. Harsh Hardy, Advocate for the accused, namely, Gajender Singh @ Banner.
The accused, namely, Rahul @ Ganja and Bhupender Singh are present on bail.
The accused, namely, Gajender @ Banner is produced from judicial custody.

PW Ct. Sumit and HC Kuldeep Kumar are not present despite service of summons and repeated calls.

Issue bailable warrants against PW Ct. Sumit and HC Kuldeep Kumar in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- each for the next date of hearing.

Contd...2/-

PW SI Kuldeep Singh, PW HC Bittoo and PW Amit Raghav @ Tamator along with the IO be summoned for the next date of hearing.

List for PE on **05.12.2023**.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
09.11.2023

It is 03.30 p.m.

Pursuant to the order passed on the last date of hearing, report received from Dy. Superintendent, CJ-03, Tihar, New Delhi as well as the copy of the CCTV footage at relevant point of time has been annexed therewith.

Pertinently, in the said reply / response specific objection to the sharing / providing the said copy to the applicant / accused is raised on the ground that the video footage of the jail premises is sensitive in nature and the same cannot be shared with any one due to security reasons. However, the report / reply states that the CCTV footage may be viewed by the Ld. Counsel for the accused with the permission of the Court.

Copy of the said reply has been furnished to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant / accused. However, considering the apprehension expressed in the said report, the CCTV footage is not shared with the Ld. Counsel at this stage. Nevertheless, Ld. Counsel is at liberty to view the same in this Court as per his convenience.

In light of the aforesaid, nothing remains in the present application. The same is disposed off in above terms.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
09.11.2023