

MHBI070004192026



ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN CRI.BAIL APPLN.No.234/2026

Asaram Madhukar Chaure

...Applicant

-Versus-

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

(A)	CASE DETAILS	
	FIR number & Date	288/2026 27/06/2026
	Police Station, District & State	Majalgaon Rural Police station, Dist.Beed.
	Sections invoked	74, 351(2) of B.N.S.
	Maximum punishment prescribed	Imprisonment for five years.

(B)	CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
	Date of Arrest	--
	Date of MCR	--
	Total period of custody undergone	--

(C)	STATUS OF TRIAL	
	Stage of proceedings (investigation/Chargesheet/ Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial)	Investigation
	Total number of witnesses cited in the chargesheet	--
	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	No

(D)	CRIMINAL ANTECEDENT	
	FIR No. & Police Station	No
	Sections	No
	Status (Pending/Acquitted Convicted)	No

(E)	PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS	
	Court	--
	Case No.	--
	Outcome of case	--

(F)	COERCIVE PROCESSES	
	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	No
	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	No

1] Applicant has filed this application under sec.482 of B.N.S.S. for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in C.R. No.288/2026 registered at Police Station Majalgaon Rural for the offences punishable under section 74, 351(2) of B.N.S.

2] It is the case of prosecution that informant (victim) alongwith his husband, son, daughter and in-laws is residing jointly at village Govindwadi, Tal.Majalgaon, Dist.Beed. Her brother-in-law i.e. applicant is residing adjacent to their house. On 26/06/2026 at about 01:30 p.m. informant and her husband returned to home by sowing cotton in the field. Her husband went on the construction site of their new house near their house. At that time informant was alone working in the house. Applicant came there and stared at her. He caught her hand and stated her that he likes her and thereby outraged her modesty.

So informant ran towards construction of their new house. At that time applicant was pursuing her. He given threat to kill her husband and daughter, if she would not talk with him. So informant raised uproar. At that time her husband and labours came out of the house. So applicant ran away. Therefore, informant lodged the report.

3] The learned Advocate for applicant submitted that he is falsely implicated in this crime. Allegations in the report are vague and not attracted against applicant. The investigation is almost completed. Applicant is having permanent place of residence at the address mentioned in the application. He is law abiding person. There is no criminal antecedents against him. He is not likely to flee from justice, if released on bail. Therefore, applicant prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4] The learned A.P.P. resisted application by filing say (Exh.15) stating therein that alleged offence is of serious nature. There is possibility of tampering of evidence by applicant. There is possibility of committing offence of similar nature, if he will be released on bail. It will become difficult to secure the presence of applicant, if he will be released on anticipatory bail. Hence, learned A.P.P. prayed for rejection of application.

5] Perused the application and the say of ld. A.P.P. Heard learned advocate for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

6] It seems from record that investigation is in progress. On perusal of documents on record it appears that applicant is having permanent place of residence at the address mentioned in the

application. It appears from record that applicant is an agriculturist. It also appears that he is the only earning member of his family and his family members are dependent upon him. There is no material on record to show that applicant will use his liberty to subvert justice or tamper with the evidence. It prima facie appears from record that there is no reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses. There is nothing on record to show that applicant will flee from justice, if released on anticipatory bail. Admittedly, this is the stage of bail, hence merit and demerits of the case, cannot be considered.

7] Considering the nature of allegations, in my opinion, it cannot be said that custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary for investigation of the crime. The apprehension of the I.O. can be met with by imposing certain conditions on applicant. In that view of matter, applicant is entitled for bail with certain conditions. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1] Application is allowed.
- 2] The interim order dated 02/07/2026 passed below Exh.5 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.
- 3] Issue letter to P.I. Majalgaon Rural Police Station, accordingly.

Date : 21/07/2026.

(Rajshri P. Pardeshi)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Majalgaon.

Dictated on : 21/07/2026
Transcribed on : 21/07/2026
Checked & signed on : 21/07/2026

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word
as per original order.

Sd/-
(A.M.Dashrath)
Stenographer Grade-I