

Bail Matters 489-2026
STATE OF DELHI Vs. AJAY YADAV
FIR No. 661/2025
PS Sadar Bazar
U/s 316(4)/318(4)/336(3)/340(2)/61(2)/3(5) BNS

12.03.2026

This is 2nd application u/s 483 of BNSS for grant of bail filed on behalf of applicant /accused.

No bail application has been reported to be pending before any other court or before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Present : **None for the State.**

Sh. Saimon Farooqui and Mohd. Azam Khan
(M.No. 7678654325), Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/ accused.

Sh. Sachin Bansal, Ld. Counsel for the complainant
(through VC).

Ms. Arti Sharma, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
complainant (M.No. 9810186530).

IO is absent.

Reply to the bail application not filed.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant submitted that the present bail application is not maintainable keeping in view the dismissal of earlier bail application by the Ld. Sessions Court vide order dated 19.09.2025 as well as by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 02.12.2025 and all the aspects/grounds which have been taken have already been dealt with, as observed in para No. 9 to 14 by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its order, and decided accordingly, as such, there is no change of circumstances with further request to dismiss the bail application.

On the other hand, it is submitted that by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that there is change in the circumstances after dismissal of earlier bail applications as considerable time has elapsed since dismissal of previous bail application before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 02.12.2025 and the charge sheet in the present case has already been filed on 04.09.2025 and charge is yet to be framed with

further submission that each and every day of custody may arise the new cause of action for filing the present bail application and despite several opportunities, charge has not been framed so far.

On being enquired whether the last submission qua the cause of action and the fact that the charge has not been framed by the Ld. Trial Court has been pleaded or not then after gone through the contents of the bail application, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused fairly submitted that the same thing has not been pleaded, however, the oral submission can be taken into consideration.

On being enquired about the presence of Ld. Addl. PP for the State, it has been informed by the Naib Court of this court that the Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State will appear before the court at 12.00 noon when the call has been made at 10.09 a.m. after receiving the message from the Ld. Chief Prosecutor.

Be awaited.

(Rakesh Kumar-IV)
ASJ (Electricity) - 01,
Central/Tis Hazari Courts/Extn. Block/
Delhi/12.03.2026 (ts)

At 10.18 a.m.

Present : None for the State.
Sh. Saimon Farooqui and Mohd. Azam Khan
(M.No. 7678654325), Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/ accused.
Sh. Sachin Bansal, Ld. Counsel for the complainant
(through VC).
Ms. Arti Sharma, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
complainant (M.No. 9810186530).
IO/SI Ramakant (M.No. 9871757734) in person.

Reply to the bail application along with Previous Involvement Report of applicant/accused (total 5 pages) filed by the IO. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

Be awaited.

(**Rakesh Kumar-IV**)
ASJ (Electricity) - 01,
Central/Tis Hazari Courts/Extn. Block/
Delhi/12.03.2026 (ts)

At 10.29 a.m.

Present : As above.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused that he wants to file written arguments along with supportive judgments in respect to the maintainability of the present bail application with further request to adjourn the matter for tomorrow i.e. 13.03.2026 and sought passover for filing the application.

Be awaited.

(**Rakesh Kumar-IV**)
ASJ (Electricity) - 01,
Central/Tis Hazari Courts/Extn. Block/
Delhi/12.03.2026 (ts)

At 12.00 p.m.

Present : Sh. Ankit Aggarwal, Ld. Substitute APP for the State.
Sh. Saimon Farooqui and Mohd. Azam Khan (M.No. 7678654325), Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused.
Sh. Sachin Bansal and Ms. Arti Sharma, Ld. Counsels for the complainant (M.No. 9810186530).
IO/SI Ramakant (M.No. 9871757734) in person.

An application seeking time for filing written arguments with respect to maintainability of the present bail application along with supportive judgments, filed.

Ld. Substitute Addl. PP for the State strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that there is no change of circumstances for considering the present bail application afresh especially after dismissal of the last bail application before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 02.12.2025.

Ld. Counsel for the complainant has placed on record copy of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case titled **State of Maharashtra Vs. Captain Buddhikota Subha Rao, 1989 Supp (2) SCC 605**. Copy be supplied.

At request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused with direction to file the written submissions qua maintainability of the bail application, not more than two pages, as prayed, with advance copy to the opposite side, put up for arguments on the bail application on **13.03.2026 at 2.00 p.m.**

Let TCR be called from the concerned Court for the next date of hearing.

(**Rakesh Kumar-IV**)
ASJ (Electricity) - 01,
Central/Tis Hazari Courts/Extn. Block/
Delhi/12.03.2026 (ts)