

MHBI070004212026

**ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN CRI.BAIL APPLN.No.236/2026**

Abhay Dinesh Solanke

...Applicant.

-Versus-

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

(A)	CASE DETAILS	
	FIR number & Date	179/2026 22/06/2026
	Police Station, District & State	Sirsala Police station, Dist.Beed.
	Sections invoked	123, 274, 275, 223 of B.N.S.
	Maximum punishment prescribed	Imprisonment for 10 years.

(B)	CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
	Date of Arrest	--
	Date of MCR	--
	Total period of custody undergone	--

(C)	STATUS OF TRIAL	
	Stage of proceedings (investigation/Chargesheet/ Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial)	Investigation
	Total number of witnesses cited in the chargesheet	--
	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	No

(D)	CRIMINAL ANTECEDENT	
	FIR No. & Police Station	C.R. No.611/2025 Chakur
	Sections	123, 274, 275, 223 of B.N.S.
	Status (Pending/Acquitted Convicted)	Pending

(E)	PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS	
	Court	--
	Case No.	--
	Outcome of case	--

(F)	COERCIVE PROCESSES	
	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	No
	Whether declared a proclaimed offender	No

1] Applicant has filed this application under sec.482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending his arrest in C.R. No.179/2026 of Sirsala Police station for the offences punishable under section 123, 223, 274, 275 of B.N.S.

2] It is the case of prosecution that on 21/06/2026 at about 06:00 p.m. informant Police Naik Shri.Munde alongwith other police staff was on duty. A.P.I. ordered them to take action against illegal activities. They were patrolling in Sirsala jurisdiction. At that time they received secret information that one person namely Abhay Dinesh Solanke is selling prohibited articles i.e. gutkha in Hyundai Creta car of white colour. Accordingly he alongwith other police staff and two panchas went to the spot of information. At that time one person i.e.

applicant was ran away by seeing them by leaving the Hyundai Creta car bearing registration No.MH-48-AK-7555. They conducted raid and taken search and found prohibited articles i.e. gutkha, scented tobacco and pan masala of various brand total worth Rs.1,13,400/- therein. They have seized the said articles by preparing Seizure panchanama in presence of panchas. Therefore informant lodged the report.

3] The learned Advocate for applicant submitted that investigation is almost completed. Applicant is innocent and has not committed alleged offence. He is falsely implicated in this crime. Allegations are vague and general in nature. Applicant is having permanent place of residence at the address mentioned in the application. He is the only earning member of his family. He is not likely to flee from justice, if released on anticipatory bail. There is no criminal antecedents against him. He is law abiding person. Hence, applicant prayed for anticipatory bail.

4] The learned A.P.P. has strongly resisted the application by filing say (Exh.7). He has submitted that the alleged offence is of serious nature. The investigation is in progress and there is possibility of tampering evidence and pressurizing the informant and witnesses by the applicant, if released on anticipatory bail. It will become difficult to secure the presence of applicant, if he will be released on anticipatory bail. Hence, learned A.P.P. prayed for rejection of the application.

5] It appears from record that investigation is in progress. It appears from the record that applicant is having permanent place of residence at the address mentioned in the application. It prima facie appears from the record that, allegations made against applicant are

vague and general in nature. It appears from record that nothing is to be recovered from the possession of applicant. It also appears from record that applicant is businessman. It also appears from record that he is the only earning member of his family and his family members are dependent upon him. There is no material on record to show that applicant will use his liberty to subvert justice or tamper with the evidence. It prima facie appears from record that there is no reasonable apprehension of tampering with witnesses. Admittedly, this is the stage of bail, hence merit and demerits of the case, cannot be considered.

6] There is nothing on record to show that, applicant will flee from justice, if released on anticipatory bail. In the circumstances, in my opinion, it cannot be said that custodial interrogation of the applicant is necessary for investigation of the crime. The apprehension of the Investigating Officer can be met by imposing conditions on applicant. In that view of matter, it is just and proper to release applicant on anticipatory bail. Considering the above reasons, applicant is entitled to be released on anticipatory bail. Hence, I proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

- 1] Application is allowed.
- 2] In the event of arrest of applicant Abhay Dinesh Solanke in C.R.No.179/2026 of Sirsala Police Station be released on bail on his executing P.R. Bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand only) with surety in like amount for offences punishable under section 123, 223, 274, 275 of B.N.S.
- 3] Applicant shall make himself available for investigation as and when called by the I.O. and co-operate with the Police in the investigation.

- 4] Applicant shall not in any manner either intimidate or influence witnesses or tamper with the evidence.
- 5] Failure thereof shall result in giving right to the prosecution to move for cancellation of the bail.
- 6] Issue letter to P.I. Sirsala Police Station accordingly.

Date : 08/07/2026.

(Rajshri P. Pardeshi)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Majalgaon.

Dictated on : 08/07/2026

Transcribed on : 08/07/2026

Checked & signed on : 08/07/2026

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are word to word as per original order.

Sd/-

(A.M.Dashrath)

Stenographer Grade-I