

**Bail Matters 452/2026**  
**STATE OF DELHI Vs. NEELAM @ DARU BIDI**  
**FIR no. 46/2026**  
**PS Civil Lines**  
**U/s 21 NDPS Act**

19.03.2026

**This is an application U/s 482 BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/ accused.**

Present: Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.  
Mr. Dev Raj, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.  
IO SI Naveen in person.

Further reply to anticipatory bail application is filed.  
Copy supplied.

Further arguments heard.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that the applicant/ accused is being falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that there are no specific allegations against her. He further submits that the applicant/accused has joined the investigation and cooperated during the same. He further submits that the applicant/accused is still ready to join the investigation as and when called by the IO.

In support of his submissions, he has relied upon the judgments titled as **Imran Khan Vs. The State NCT of Delhi, Bail Appln. 4823/2025 & CRL.M.A. 37055/2025 decided on 09.01.2026 by Hon'ble Delhi High Court & Manohar Singh Vs. State of Haryana, SLP (Crl). No. 17915/2025 decided on 23.02.2026 by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.**

In view of aforesaid, he request that applicant/accused may be admitted to anticipatory bail.

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the state as well as IO have vehemently opposed the bail application. They submit that though the applicant/accused has joined the investigation but she

has not

-2-

cooperated in the investigation and she has not provided mobile number of her family members. They further submit that she has also not provided her bank account number. They further submit that her CDR is still awaited and her bank accounts are yet to be analyzed, therefore, her custodial interrogation is required.

In view of aforesaid, they request to dismiss the bail application of applicant/accused.

In rebuttal, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that as per the facts of the case, there is only disclosure statement **of accused Kiran @ Boni** and she has also disclosed that earlier she used to purchase drugs from applicant/accused, however, she has not disclosed as to when she used to purchase the same from applicant/accused. He further submits that copy of Aadhar Card of applicant/accused is with IO and since the applicant/accused is not using any bank account, therefore, no bank account details were provided. According to him, the applicant/accused cooperated during the investigation and she will further join the investigation and when called by the IO.

Submissions considered. Record perused

As per the facts of the case, the recovered substance was not supplied by applicant/accused. As per the disclosure statement of accused Kiran @ Boni, she earlier used to purchase drugs from applicant/accused. The applicant/accused has already joined the investigation. As of now, there is no incriminating evidence against applicant/accused except the disclosure statement of co-accused which is not admissible as per law.

In view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I consider it to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant/

accused. Accordingly, anticipatory bail is granted to applicant/  
accused **Neelam @ Daru Bidi** on the following conditions :-

- (i) In the event of arrest, applicant/ accused shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the IO/ SHO concerned;
- (ii) The applicant/ accused is directed not to leave the country without intimation to the IO;
- (iii) The applicant/ accused shall join investigation as and when called by the IO/ SHO concerned;
- (iv) The applicant/ accused is directed to give her mobile number to the IO and keep them operational at all times;
- (v) The applicant/ accused shall give her address to the IO and if she changes the address, she shall intimate the same to the IO;
- (vi) The applicant/ accused shall not, directly or indirectly pressurize the complainant or any other witness;
- (vii) That if the applicant is summoned as an accused then she will appear on each and every date of hearing during trial.

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present anticipatory bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case. With these conditions and observations, anticipatory bail application stands **disposed of**.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the learned counsel for applicant/ accused as well as IO/ SHO concerned.

**(Virender Singh)**  
**Special Judge, NDPS,**  
**Central,THC, Delhi/19.03.2026**