

State Vs. Dharambir Mina etc.

Present:- Sh. Rohit, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Dharambir Mina produced through VC (exemption filed)
represented by Sh. Umesh Joon and Naveen Joon, Advocates.
Accused Arvind Sharma produced through VC (exemption filed)
represented Sh.Pawan Jakhar, Advocate.
Accused Rahul on bail represented by Sh.Amit Solanki,
Advocate.

Application for exemption from personal appearance of accused Dharambir Meena and Arvind Sharma filed by his counsel. In view of the circumstances mentioned in the application, present application is allowed and physical appearance of above named accused persons are exempted for today only.

A perusal of the case file reveals that in this case, Physical presence of the accused Dharambir Meena and Arvind Sharma could not be secured and for that reason, the proceedings of the case cannot be allowed to be delayed for the same purpose for a long period of time. Thus, after securing the presence of accused Dharambir Meena and Arvind Sharma through VC the submissions of his Ld. Counsel have been heard on the point of framing of charge. From the perusal of the police report under Section 193 BNSS and of accompanying documents, a prima-facie case for the commission of the offences punishable under Sections 61, 318(4), 316(2) and 319 read with section 3(5) of BNS made out against the accused. The accused have been charge sheeted accordingly to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Statement of Ld. Counsel for the accused Dharambir Meena and Arvind Sharma has been recorded separately regarding the fact that the contents of the charge have been read over and explained to the accused in simple language in the presence of his counsel through VC.

Charge-sheet of the accused Dharambir Meena and Arvind Sharma are served upon them through VC. Scanned copy of the charge-sheet of the above-named accused, who were charge-sheeted today through VC was sent electronically to the remote

access point for signing of the same by the accused. The Ahlmad of this court is directed to tag the signed statement with the main case file upon receiving the same back from the remote access point vide electronic communication as well as speed post respectively.

Heard on the point of charge. From the perusal of the police report under Section 193 BNSS and of accompanying documents, a prima-facie case for the commission of the offences punishable under Sections 61, 318(4), 316(2) and 319 read with section 3(5) of BNS made out against the accused Rahul. The accused Rahul has been charge sheeted accordingly to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Now, the case is adjourned to **19.08.2026** for prosecution evidence. All remainining unexamined Pws be summoned for the date fixed.

Date of order: 06.04.2026
Manju, Stenographer Gr.II

(Vineet Kumar),
CJ(JD)/JMIC,
Jhajjar. UID No.HR-0593