

IN THE COURT OF SESSION FOR GR. BOMBAY AT MUMBAI

ORDER BELOW EXH.13

IN

SPL. CASE NO. 599 OF 2025

Siddharth Chokshi

... Accused No.01

Versus

Enforcement Directorate

... Respondent

Appearance:

Mr. Nalin Bajaj, Ld Adv. for accused/01.

Mr. Arvind Aghav, Spl. P.P.

CORAM : HIS HONOUR ADDL.SESIONS

JUDGE A. C. DAGA

(C.R.No.16)

DATE : 23rd MAY, 2025.

ORDER

1. This is an application on behalf of accused No.01 seeking further extension by six weeks to furnish surety. Prosecution has strongly opposed the application, contending that sufficient time was already availed by the applicant, yet surety is not furnished.

2. In Mumbai securing surety is a very difficult and time consuming process. The applicant is late in furnishing surety for the reasons mentioned in the application, which are justified and acceptable. Therefore if the application is allowed in the interest of justice, no prejudice will be caused to the prosecution. Hence, application is allowed and further extension by four weeks to furnish surety is granted.

Dt.: 23.05.2025

(A.C. Daga)

Additional Sessions Judge
Designated as Special Court under
the PML Act, 2002