

CNR No. HRPK01-003490-2023

Case No.COMA 28/2023

(IA No.24 of 2025)

Coma 28-2023 ED Vs. Basant Bansal & Others

Present: Shri Lokesh Narang, Special PP for ED

S/Shri Siddharth Bhardwaj & Yavneet Dhakla, Advocates for
accused Basant Bansal (A-1) & Pankaj Bansal (A-2)
(Accused not present today) (bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C.
furnished)

Accused Lalit Goyal (A-3) on bail, but not present today,
represented by Shri Anand Vardhan Khanna, Advocate

Accused Ajay Singh @ Ajay Parmar (A-4) on bail
represented by S/Shri Abhishek Rana & Jagdeep Mittal,
Advocates

S/Shri SPS Parmar & Abhishek Singh Rana, Advocates for
accused Rohit Singh Tomar (A-5) (Accused present today)
(bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C.furnished)

Shri Abhishek Rana, Advocate for accused Paramvir Singh
Parmar (A-6) (Accused present today)
(bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C.furnished)

S/Shri SPS Parmar & Abhishek Singh Rana, Advocates for
accused Pushpa Devi (A-7) (bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C. furnished)
(Presence of said accused permanently exempted
vide order dated 05.11.2024)

Accused Sudhir Parmar (A-8) on bail represented by
S/Shri SPS Parmar & Abhishek Rana, Advocates

Shri Adarsh Kumar Dubey, Advocate for accused Anil Bhalla
(A-9) (bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C. furnished) (Presence of said
accused permanently exempted vide order dated 19.12.2024)

Shri Abhishek Rana, Advocate for accused Ajay
Sharma (A-10) (Accused not present today)
(bonds u/s 88 Cr.P.C. furnished)

S/Shri Siddharth Bhardwaj & Yavneet Dhakla, Advocates
for Applicant-Roop Kumar Bansal

Fresh Vakalatnama on behalf of accused No.7 (Pushpa Devi)
filed by S/Shri SPS Parmar & Abhishek Singh Rana, Advocates.

Separate applications for exemption from personal appearance of accused Basant Bansal, Pankaj Bansal, Lalit Goyal and Ajay Sharma, have been filed.

Heard. Keeping in view the reasons mentioned in the applications, the same are hereby allowed and personal appearance of the above-said accused is exempted for today only.

Today, the case was fixed for arguments on the application seeking dropping of proceedings and thereby dismissal of complaint under Section 226 BNSS, 2023 at pre-cognizance stage (IA No.24/2025). Learned defence counsel for accused persons have stated that vide order dated 20.04.2026 passed in case bearing No.PC/03/2026, all the accused persons have been discharged by the court, thus, proceedings in the present matter, cannot be continued.

On the other hand, Shri Lokesh Narang, Special PP for ED has requested for a long adjournment after the summer vacations submitting that the copy of order dated 20.04.2026 was uploaded on the portal yesterday only and the department is still in the process of going through the aforesaid order and take a conscious decision on further steps to be taken including filing appeal against the order. The adjournment has been opposed by learned defence counsels by stating that in similar matter pertaining to case No.COMA/42/2019 titled as “Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement Vs. The Associated Journals Ltd. & Others” this court vide order dated 03.04.2026 has closed the proceedings on account of discharge in the main case while rejecting the contention of prosecution regarding pendency of appeal.

Heard. Since the order dated 20.04.2026 was made available to the prosecution on 27.04.2026 itself, therefore, I deem it appropriate to grant some reasonable time to the prosecution to take objection on the aspect of dropping of proceedings in the present case after going through the order dated 20.04.2026.

Matter is accordingly adjourned to **12.05.2026** for arguments on the point of dropping the proceedings in the present case on account of discharge of accused persons in case bearing No.PC/03/2026 titled as “State Vs. Sudhir Parmar & Others”.

(Vijayant Sehgal)
Special Judge (PMLA),
Haryana at Panchkula,
28.04.2026
UID No.HR-0163

sonia