

CS (Comm.) No. 249/2025
M/s R. K. & Sons Jewelers Vs.
M/s Heera Jewels & Gems Pvt. Ltd.

09.07.2025

Present: Ms. Kiran Rathor, Ld. Proxy Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Sachin Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Adjournment is sought on behalf of the plaintiff stating that the wife of the main counsel has been hospitalized and that is why Ld. Counsel is unable to attend the court today.

Not opposed.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant pointed out that he has already received the copy of the reply to the applications of the defendant moved under Order VIII Rule 1 read with Section 151 CPC and another application moved under Order VII Rule 11 read with Section 151 CPC.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff submits that the aforesaid replies have already been filed through e-mode and the heard copies would be placed on record by the next date of hearing. She further pointed out that in addition to the aforesaid replies, the plaintiff has also filed the application under Order XI Rule 4 CPC through e-mode and the hard copy of the same will also be filed by the next date of hearing.

Let the needful be done by the next date of hearing. Copy of the same is also directed to be supplied to the opposite counsel against acknowledgment.

Now put up for **arguments** on the aforesaid applications on **13.08.2025 at 12 Noon**.

Date and time has been given absolutely as per the convenience of Ld. Counsel of both the parties.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
09.07.2025