

CS (Comm.) No. 249/2025
M/s R. K. & Sons Jewelers vs. M/s Heera Jewels & Gems

10.11.2025

File is taken up on an application moved on behalf of the plaintiff seeking summoning of witness.

Present: Ms. Kiran Rathore Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

None for the defendant.

Vide aforesaid application a request has been made on behalf of the plaintiff to summon a witness from District Court, Meerut, as mentioned in the application. It is stated that on the last date of hearing, one witness namely Mukul Kumar, Handwriting Expert had already been summoned and he had been served *dasti*.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that on the last date of hearing inadvertently, request could not be made to summon the said witness from District Court, Meerut. A request has been made accordingly.

Heard and perused the record.

The present case is listed for remaining evidence of the plaintiff on 02.12.2025. It is evident that the witness sought to be summoned from District Court, Meerut, found mention in the list of witnesses already filed by the plaintiff. In view of the submissions made and in the interest of justice, the witness as mentioned in the application, be summoned vide PF for the date already fixed i.e. 02.12.2025. Application is **disposed off**

accordingly. Steps be taken within **two days**.

File be taken up on the date already fixed i.e.
02.12.2025.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
10.11.2025