

STATE VS. VIPIN @ JUDI ETC.
FIR NO.713/15
P.S. PAHAR GANJ
U/S 307/394/34 IPC

21.01.2019

Present interim bail application under section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved on behalf of accused/applicant, namely, Vikesh @ Deepak @ Kana.

Present: Shri Ghanshyam Srivastava, Ld Addl. PP for the State

.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.

Reply has already been filed to the said bail application.

Arguments on the bail application of the accused/applicant are heard.

It has been argued by the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that he is in J/C without committing any offence. It has further been argued that the accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. It has further been argued that the younger brother Akash is admitted in Lady Harding Hospital since 06.1.2019 for treatment of his eyes. It has been argued that the accused/applicant wants the treatment of his younger brother Akash in his presence. It has further been argued that the accused/applicant is the only bread earner in his family. It has further been argued that no purpose would be

contd..2/-

served by keeping the applicant behind the bar. It has further been argued that there is no likelihood of tempering with the prosecution evidence or fleeing from justice. It is prayed that the accused be granted interim bail for one month.

On the other hand it has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for the State that the offences under sections 307/394/34 IPC against the accused are serious in nature. It has been argued that complainant and injured have identified the accused, during their chief statement. It has further been argued that the accused/applicant does not have any permanent address. It has further been argued that the brother of the accused is not admitted in the hospital and is not serious enough. It has been prayed that the accused be not granted interim bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, seriousness of offence alleged against the accused, and the fact that the brother of the accuse/applicant is not admitted in the hospital and is not serious enough and that case is at the initial stage of trial, accused/ applicant is not entitled for bail, at this stage of the proceedings. Accordingly, the present interim bail application of the applicant, Vikesh @ Deepak @ Kana is dismissed.

-3-

Put up for PE on the date already fixed i.e. 21.2.2019.

(RAMESH KUMAR)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-1 CENTRAL
TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI
21.01.2019

STATE VS. VIPIN @ JUDI ETC.
FIR NO.713/15
P.S. PAHAR GANJ
U/S 307/394/34 IPC

21.01.2019

Present: Shri Ghanshyam Srivastava, Ld Addl. PP for the State

.

Accused Vinay on bail with Ld Counsel.

Accused Vipin @ Judi and Deepak @ Kanha not
produced from J/C.

No witness is present.

Let the witnesses be summoned for the next date of
hearing.

Vide my separate order of the date I.e 21.1.2019, the
bail application of accused Vikesh @ Deepak @ Kana
has been disposed of.

Put up for PE on 21.02.2019.

(RAMESH KUMAR)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-1 CENTRAL
TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI
21.01.2019