

STATE VS. VIPIN @ JUDI ETC.
FIR NO.713/15
P.S. PAHAR GANJ
U/S 307/394/34 IPC

15.10.2018

Present: Shri Ghanshyam Srivastava, Ld Addl. PP for the State

.

Accused Vinay on bail with Id counsel Shri P.K.Garg.

Accused Vipin @ Judi and Deepak @ Kanha
produced from J/C.

No other witness is present except IO.

Let the witnesses be summoned for the next date of
hearing.

Put up for PE on 27.11.2018.

(RAMESH KUMAR)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-1 CENTRAL
TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI
15.10.2018

STATE VS. VIPIN @ JUDI ETC.
FIR NO.713/15
P.S. PAHAR GANJ
U/S 307/394/34 IPC

15.10.2018

Present bail application under section 439 Cr.P.C has been moved on behalf of accused person /applicant, namely Vipin @ Judi,

Present: Shri Ghanshyam Srivastava, Ld Addl. PP for the State

.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.

Arguments on the bail application of the accused/applicant are heard.

It has been argued by the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that he is in J/C since the date of his arrest i.e. 30.10.2015 It has further been argued that the accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. It has further been argued that the accused/applicant is not a previous convict. It has further been argued that no purpose would be served by keeping the applicant behind the bar. It has further been argued that there is no likelihood of tempering with the prosecution evidence or fleeing from justice. It has further been argued that the accused/applicant is the only bread earner in his family. It is prayed that the accused be granted bail.

Contd.....2/-

On the other hand it has been argued by Ld. Addl. PP for the State that the offence against the accused persons is serious in nature. It has been argued that complainant and injured have identified the accused, during their chief statement. It has further been argued that the accused/applicant does not have any permanent address. It has been prayed that the accused be not granted bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances, seriousness of offence alleged against the accused, and the fact that the case is at stage of trial and that, if the accused /applicant is released on bail, he can jump the bail, the accused/ applicant is not entitled for bail, at this stage of the proceedings. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant, Vipin @ Judi is dismissed.

(RAMESH KUMAR)
SPECIAL JUDGE(NDPS)-1 CENTRAL
TIS HAZARI COURTS, DELHI
15.10.2018