

22.07.2024

M No.61056/16
K.K Jain vs. Shakuntala Devi Jain and ors.

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Sh. Pradeep Kumar, Sh. Rajeev Kumar Jain and Sh. Anil Kumar in person.

On the LDOH, court notice was issued to all the defendants i.e. Pradeep, Rajeev, Sunil and Suman Gupta. However, only Sh. Anil Kumar Jain, Sh. Rajeev Kumar Jain and Sh. Pradeep Kumar Jain are present before the court.

It is submitted by the plaintiff, appearing in person as well as Sh. Pradeep Kumar Jain, Sh. Rajeev Kumar Jain and Sh. Anil Kumar Jain that the suit property stands partitioned in terms of the preliminary decree and the parties are in separate possession of their respective shares in the suit property and they have no objection in case a final decree is passed in terms of the preliminary decree.

Perusal of the record shows that statement of Smt. Snehlata Jain has already been recorded in the present matter whereby she has stated that a final decree may be passed in terms of the preliminary decree.

Separate statement of the Sh. Pradeep Kumar Jain (defendant no. 1) and Sh. Anil Kumar Jain is recorded to the effect that they have no objection in case a final decree is passed in terms of the preliminary decree and the parties pursuant to the preliminary decree have partitioned the suit property amicably and the parties are in separate possession of their respective shares.

However, despite court notice nobody is present on behalf of Sunil Kumar Jain and Smt. Suman Gupta.

In the interest of justice, issue fresh **court notice** to Sunil Kumar Jain and Suman Gupta.

Renotify on **22.08.2024**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
22.07.2024