

**Misc No. 61056/2016**  
**K.K. Jain Vs. Shakuntla devi Jain**

04.07.2022

Present: Sh. Vijay Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.  
Defendant namely Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jain in person.  
None for other defendant no. 4.

On 10.05.2022, this Court had issued notice on the application filed on behalf of the plaintiff u/o 22 Rule 4 & 9 CPC.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that by virtue of the present application the plaintiff is only seeking the deletion of the name of the defendant no. 1 since the defendant no. 1 has expired on 02.09.2016 and the plaintiff as well as the defendant no. 2 to 7 are the only LRs of the deceased defendant no.1 who was the mother of the parties.

As per the report of the process server, the notice on the above application to the defendant no.2 namely Pradeep Jain has been received back as served.

As per the report of the process server, the notice on the above application to the defendant no.3 namely Rajeev Kumar Jain has been received back as served.

As per the report of the process server, the notice on the above application to the defendant no.5 namely Sunil Kumar Jain has been received back as served.

As per the report of the process server, the notice on the above application to the defendant no.7 namely Suman Gupta has been received back as served.

The notice sent to the defendant no. 4 and 6 had not been received back.

Let fresh notice be issued to the defendant no. 4 and 6 as per the fresh amended memo of parties for the NDOH on filing of PF/RC/ Speed Post

List for further proceedings on **17.09.2022.**

**(Ajay Gulati - II)**  
ADJ- 01 (Central),  
THC, Delhi,04.07.2022