

FIR No.368/2020
PS Timarpur
State v. Ravi Thakur etc.

24.03.2022

Present: Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld Addl. PP for State.

Sh. Nikhil Tyagi, Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused Ravi Thakur.

Sh. Shyam Manohar, Ld. Counsel for accused Sachin and Haider. Ld. Counsel also files vakalatnama for accused Haider.

Sh. Dharam Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused Harvir Giri.

Accused Sachin and Haider on bail are present.

Accused Harvir Giri and Ravi Thakur not produced from JC.

Accused Sonu Chaturvedi is absconding.

Ld. Counsel for accused Sachin and Haider submits that in the copy of the chargesheet supplied to the accused, the disclosure statements of Sachin and Haider are incomplete. It emerges that disclosure statements of accused Satender, Sonu Chaturvedi and Haider run on both the sides of the page front and back, however, in the copy of the chargesheet supplied it is only the front page that has been supplied. IO is directed to supply the complete disclosure statements of accused Satender,

Sonu Chaturvedi and Haider, to the accused persons.

Arguments are heard on the point of charge on behalf of accused Harvir Giri, Sachin and Haider. Ld. Counsel for accused Sachin and Haider has relied upon judgment reported as **Sanjeev Chander Aggarwal v UOI** CrI. Appeal No. 1273/2021 and **Tofan Singh v. State of Tamil Nadu** (2021) 4 SCC 1.

Adjournment is being sought on behalf of accused Ravi Thakur on the ground that Ld. Main counsel is not available.

For arguments on behalf of accused Ravi Thakur and on behalf of State, put up on **16.04.2022. IO be also summoned to explain the incriminating material against accused Sachin and Haider.**

(Neelofer Abida Perveen)
SpecialJudge-02, NDPS/
ASJ, (Central), THC / Delhi
24.03.2022