

TATA Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Vs. KAILASHI DEVI

27.11.2025

Present: Sh. Satish Kumar, A/R of the complainant company
Sh. Vaibhav Manager (Legal) of the complainant/TPDDL.
Sh. Brahamanand Gupta, Ld. LAC for accused.
Accused absent.

Record perused.

NBWs with respect to accused received back unexecuted again with report that whereabouts of accused is not known. Considering the circumstances, no option is left with the court but to proceed ahead with the matter.

Accordingly, let process u/s 82 Cr. PC be issued against the accused on her last known address with the directions to execute the same at least 30 days before the date given. The Process Server is also directed to ensure the execution of the aforesaid process by:-

1. Affixation on the main gate of house of accused.
2. The photographs regarding affixation of process in aforesaid manner be also filed along with report.
3. By public announcements in the area concerned.
4. To record statement of two respectable members of the society regarding the execution of the process in the aforesaid manner.

Process Server is also directed to ensure the publication of process in a leading National English/Hindi/Vernacular languages newspaper having circulation in the area where the accused was stated to be residing. Be listed for further proceedings on **03.02.2026**.

(PRASHANT KUMAR)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi 27.11.2025