

Ajay Kumar Taneja Vs State BA-302-2026

FIR No.110 of 02.05.2026

Under Section:- 21(a),61, 85 NDPS Act

Police Station: City Malout.

Present:- Sh.A.K.Tuteja, Adv. Counsel for the applicant
Ajay Kumar.
Shri Jashandeep, APP for the State.

Heard on the bail application moved on behalf of applicant / accused Ajay Kumar. It has been submitted that the accused /applicant has been falsely implicated in the case. Nothing has been recovered from the accused /applicant. Thus, prayed for concession of bail to applicant / accused.

ASI Harbans Singh No. 148/SMS has suffered a statement that no other case is pending against the accused Ajay Kumar except this case.

Heard. Record perused. The applicant / accused is in custody in the present case since 02.05.2026. Considering the nature of the offence and no useful purpose will be served by detaining him in custody during the proceedings of the present case and even otherwise it is not the case of the prosecution that if released on bail, accused / applicant will tamper with the prosecution witnesses. So, in view of my aforesaid observations, the present bail application filed on behalf of applicant / accused is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 30,000/-, with one surety of the like amount, with the conditions that he will not tamper with the prosecution evidence and appear in the court on each and every date of hearing.

Bail application stands disposed off. Requisite bail bonds and surety bonds furnished. Same are accepted and attested. Release warrant be issued forthwith. Papers be tagged with relevant remand paper.

Date of Order: 14.05.2026
(Prabha steno-Gr.I)

(Komple Dhanjal)
SDJM/Malout
UID No. PB0374

“Dictated Directly”