

4 EX 164/25
24.12.2025

MAHIPAL Vs. MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

Present: Ms. Sakshi Karnwal, Ld. Counsel for the DH
Ms. Jhanvi Sharma, Proxy Counsel for the JD/ MCD.

Proxy Counsel for the JD submits that despite her intimating the department, no instructions are forthcoming.

Ld. Counsel for DH has placed on record the order passed in LCA No. 1476/2019 titled " Mahipal v. EDMC", vide which the identical amount has been awarded in respect of the same heads, with respect to which the same very amount has been awarded by the Labour Court vide order dated 03.10.2024, passed in LCA No. 1927/24 vide order dated 01.08.2024, which is under execution.

The DH is directed to place on record his bank account statements, who is also directed to file affidavit to the effect that he has not received the said very amount i.e. an amount of Rs. 6,20,298/- in execution arising out of the orders dated 03.10.2024 passed in LCA NO. 1476/2019. The DH is further directed to file an affidavit that no execution petition has been preferred against the order dated 03.10.2024 passed in LAC No. 1476/2019, who shall certify that no other execution petition is pending in any of the Courts in India.

Keeping in view the fact that, despite directions, no instructions have been received by the counsel of the JD from the department despite intimation, **let Account/ Administrative Officer of the JD remain present on the NDOH**, *who is directed to apprise the Court as to whether any payment has been made to the DH under the orders dated 01.08.2024 passed in LAC NO. 1927/2024 (order under execution) or under the orders dated 03.10.2024 passed in LAC No. 1476/2019.*

It is made clear that in case no officer of the JD is present on the NDOH exemplary cost would be imposed upon the JD.

List on **09.01.2026**.

(Sachin Sood)

DJ-01, (Central): THC, Delhi/24.12.2025