

SC No. 28267/2016
State Vs. Kaushal Kumar Etc.
FIR No. 248/2010
PS: DBG Road

26.03.2024

Present: Sh. Aditya Trehan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Akash Malik, Intern on behalf of accused no. 2
Bhawar Lal.

Accused no. 2 Bhawar Lal and accused no. 3 Rehan are
absent.

Proceedings against accused no. 1 Kaushal stood abated.

Exemption application is moved on behalf of accused
Bhawar Lal which is heard and allowed for today only.

HC Pawan Kumar could not verify the surety due to
non-availability of his gali number with the address whereas in the
bail bond the surety was verified by HC Mukesh who has
conveniently found the said address.

In such view of the matter, HC Pawan Kumar, PS DBG
Road be summoned alongwith SHO for the next date of hearing to
explain that how they cannot find the said address as the same
address is conveniently found and verified by HC Mukesh in

Contd/-....

reference to surety Sh. Manikant Mandal.

Put up for further proceedings on **23.04.2024**.

(JOGINDER PRAKASH NAHAR)
Additional Sessions Judge (FTC-01)
Tis Hazari Court/Delhi/26.03.2024