

PBTT010061592024



Presented on : 04-12-2024  
Registered on : 05-12-2024  
Decided on : 10-01-2025  
Duration : 0 years, 1 months, 6 days

**IN THE COURT OF NEETIKA VERMA (UID No. PB0209)  
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TARN TARAN**

**Bail Application no. 3044 of 2024  
Date of Order : 10.01.2025**

Anmol Singh @ Mola @ Anmolpreet Singh aged about 27 years s/o  
Angrej Singh @ Bhaggar, r/o village Mari Mehga, Tehsil Patti, District  
TarnTaran.

**.....Accused/petitioner**

Versus

State.

**( First Bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S)**

-O-O-

F.I.R. No. 113 dated 07.11.2022  
Under Section 341,323,379-B,506,34 of IPC and the offence  
under Section 238 of BNS was deleted and offence under  
Section 201 of IPC added later on.  
Police Station : Khalra, District Tarn Taran.

-O-O-

Present : Sh. Deepak Arora Advocate, counsel for the accused-  
applicant.  
Shri Ravinder Singh, Additional Public  
Prosecutor for the State.

## **O R D E R**

The above named accused has filed the first application for  
grant of regular bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha  
Sanhita (hereinafter referred to as B.N.S.S), in case F.I.R. No. 113 dated  
07.11.2022, Under Section 341,323,379-B,506,34 of IPC and the offence

under section 238 of BNS was deleted and offence under Section 201 of IPC added later on, Police Station : Khalra, District Tarn Taran.

2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the State and police record was requisitioned.

***COMPLIANCE OF DIRECTIVE CONTAINED IN ORDER DATED 28.07.2021 PASSED BY HON'BLE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT IN CRM-M-1695-2021***

3. Carried out. Report of investigating officer attested by the Additional Public Prosecutor about pendency of or of any former adjudication of bail application before or by any Court under the provisions of BNSS and the result thereof received. The bail application of co-accused Satnam Singh @ Shamu was allowed by the Court of Sh. Kanwaljit Singh Bajwa, Learned Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran on 10.10.2024.

***ABSTRACT OF FIR:***

4 Applicant-accused, alongwith three named co-accused, has been booked in the present FIR on the basis of statement of Laddi Singh son of Subeg Singh, on the allegations that there was an annual Jor Mela in his village at Gurdwara Bhai Jeevan Singh Ji. In this regard, the complainant was going to buy stuff for food on his motorcycle bearing No. PB 88-4184 Hero Splendor along with the president of Gurdwara namely, Bhai Paramjit Singh son of Das Singh and Ravinder Singh son of Satnam Singh on motorcycle bearing No. PB-38-C-0822 Platina from village Dall to Bhikhiwind, then at about 2/2:30 PM, when they reached in front of the stadium and gym of village Mari Megha, Mintu son of Dilbag Singh, Shamu son of Shinder Singh, Labbhi son of Bagha Singh, and Anmol Singh @ Maula son of Angrej Singh @ Baggarh, came in

front of their motorcycles and stopped them. Mintu took out the key of motorcycle of complainant. The motorcycle of Paramjit Singh was also stopped and they started scuffling with complainant and Ravinder Singh. Mintu caught hold of the complainant from his arms and Anmol Singh @ Maula snatched the purse from the right pocket of his lower, in which donation contributed by the Sangat of about Rs.10,000/- and his Aadhar Card were lying. Mintu, who was armed with Kirch, further gave threats to complainant side. On the basis of the statement, the present FIR was registered against the accused.

***ARGUMENTS RAISED BY LEARNED COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT/ACCUSED:***

5           Contention of learned counsel for the accused-applicant is that a concocted and false story has been made up by the prosecution in order to harass the applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is no more required for any kind of investigation and no useful purpose will be served by detaining him behind bars. The applicant/accused has further contended that no offence as alleged was committed by him. The applicant/accused has further contended that he would not tamper with the prosecution evidence and will not abscond from the trial Court and would appear on each and every date of hearing and shall not misuse the concession bail and will abide by all the terms and conditions as laid down under Section 483 of BNSS or as imposed by the Court and finally, it has been prayed that the applicant/accused may be granted bail.

***ARGUMENTS OF LEARNED ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.***

6           On the other hand, while controverting the contentions put forth by the counsel for accused, ld. APP for the State argued that serious allegations have been leveled against the applicant/accused. He further

argued that if concession of bail is granted to the applicant/accused, he may try to influence the prosecution witnesses. Hence, the applicant/accused does not deserve the leniency of the Court and should not be granted relief of bail.

**DISCUSSION:**

7 Perusal of the judicial file transpires that the bail application of co-accused namely Satnam Singh @ Shamu was allowed by the Court of Sh. Kanwaljit Singh Bajwa, Learned Sessions Judge, TarnTaran on 10.10.2024. No injury has been attributed to the applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is in custody since 01.09.2024. The challan in the present case has already been presented in the Court. The conclusion of trial will take considerable time and no useful purpose will be served by detaining the accused behind bars as it would be a burden on government exchequer. Therefore, in view of above referred discussion, the accused is admitted on bail on his furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like amount before the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate upon the following conditions:

*1 That he shall attend the Court on each and every date of hearing;*

*2 That he shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected;*

*3 That he shall not directly or indirectly make an inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and*

*4 That he shall not leave the country without prior permission of Court.*

Papers be attached with the main file.

A soft copy of this bail order be sent by email to the accused/applicant through the jail superintendent immediately.

**Pronounced in open court**  
**Dated:10.01.2025**

**Neetika Verma,**  
**Additional Sessions Judge**  
**Tarn Taran/UID-PB0209**

Typed by Aman (Steno)

Present : Sh. Deepak Arora Advocate, counsel for the accused-applicant.  
Shri Ravinder Singh, Additional Public  
Prosecutor for the State.

Judicial file received and perused. Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the present bail application stands allowed. Papers be attached with the main file. A soft copy of the bail order be sent by email to the accused/applicant through the jail superintendent immediately in view of the directions passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide order dated 31.01.2023 in **SMWP(Crl.) 4/2021 titled as In Re Policy Strategy For Grant of Bail.**

**Pronounced in open court**  
**Dated: 10.01.2025**

**Neetika Verma,**  
**Additional Sessions Judge**  
**Tarn Taran/UID-PB0209**

Typed by Aman (Steno)