

Ex No. 24223/16
State Bank Of India Vs. Suman Kanodia

15.07.2022

Present: Sh. Sidharth Singh, Proxy counsel for Sh. Bhim Singh, Ld.
Counsel for the DH.
Sh. Navdeep Singh, Ld. Counsel for the objector, Sh. Vijay
Ahuja.

Proxy counsel for the DH has filed true printouts of the order dated 06.08.2019 in CS no. 609716/16 titled as Jiwan Kumar Ahuja Vs. SBI submitting that the said suit was rejected under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

Ld. Counsel for both the parties submits that they will place on record the certified copy of the above stated order submitting that the same is not available on the official website.

The Ld. Counsel for the JD submits that he is ready and willing to settle the matter with the bank since the JD was a bonafide purchaser in respect of the ***Suit Property*** bearing no. 4401/5, Ansari Road, Dariya Ganj.

It is also submitted by the DH that the SBI bank had first lien on the ***Suit Property*** however it is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the JD that the original documents were deposited with the Punjab & National Bank and the Punjab & National Bank had initiated original recovery proceedings before the Ld. DRT in which the original deeds were filed on record.

The Ld. Counsel for the JD further submits that he has already settled the matter with the Punjab & National Bank and the Punjab & National Bank had issued NOC to the JD for releasing the said property to the JD in favour of the JD.

The Ld. Counsel for the JD submits that he will file the said documents on record on or before the NDOH.

In the meantime, the Ld. Counsel for the DH seeks time to inspect the Court file to complete his record.

Proxy counsel for the DH does not have instructions in respect of the settlement between the parties.

Let the concerned/responsible officer of the DH dealing the present shall appear in person on the NDOH.

List for further proceedings on **31.10.2022**.

(Ajay Gulati - II)
ADJ- 01 (Central),
THC, Delhi, 15.07.2022