

09.09.2025

CS No. 12934/16  
Gurcharan Singh vs. Inderjeet Singh

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff a/w plaintiff.  
Ld. Counsel for the defendant a/w defendant.

On the LDOH, Sh. Naveen Sharma had entered appearance on behalf of defendant no. 2, 3, 4 and 5 including their Lrs.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff no. 1B has pointed to the vakalatnama as filed by Sh Naveen Sharma wherein it has been executed only by Lrs of defendant no. 2.

Let the said fact be clarified by Sh. Naveen Sharma who is stated to be indisposed today.

No reply to the applications filed under Section 94C read with Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC and also to the application under Order 26 Rule 9 CPC has been filed by any of the defendants.

No reply to the application filed by defendant no. 1 and 2 seeking amendment of the preliminary decree dated 28.04.2000 and also of the modification of the preliminary decree carried out vide order dated 02.08.2016 has been filed by the plaintiff or by any of the other defendants.

Let replies to the pending applications be filed within two weeks from today with advance copy to the other parties.

Renotify on **15.11.2025**.

**It is made clear that in case replies are not filed, exemplary cost would be imposed and right of the parties to file the replies shall be closed.**

**The parties have assured to the court that till the NDOH, the status of the property would not be changed.**

Sachin Sood  
DJ-01, Central, THC  
09.09.2025