

17.09.2025

CS No. 10164/16
Jag Mohan Gupta vs. Girhdari Lal

Present: Sh. Ayush Chauhan and Ms. Tia Sachdeva, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff no. 2A.
Ms. Aashna Arora and Sh. Gurtej Pal Singh, Ld. Counsel for Lrs of defendant no. 1C(a) to 1C(d) through VC.
Sh. Gaurav Gupta, Ld. Counsel for 1B(i), 1D, 1E, 2A, 2B, 3B, 3C, 4A and 4B.
Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld. Counsel for Lrs of defenant no. 11 alongwith Sh. Sanjay Jain.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 10 a/w defendant no. 10(d).
Sh. Akshat Jain, son of defendant no. 10B.

Notice of the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC inadvertently have been issued to Smt. Subhash who is stated to have expired as per the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC filed on 07.08.2025, the said error is being accordingly corrected in the order dated 04.09.2025.

Notices were issued to Smt. Radha, Smt. Indu and Sh. Shashank Jain however, they have not been returned back as served.

Let fresh notices be issued to Smt. Radha, Smt. Indu and Sh. Shashank Jain on filing of PF, RC and speed post.

The applicant is at liberty to serve the aforesaid unserved non applicants also through email/whatsapp through nazarat branch on filing of an affidavit stating that the said email address and whatsapp no belongs to the aforesaid persons.

Position with respect to the respective proposals to partition the suit property.

(1) Plaintiff no. 1 (Sh. Jagmohan) 1/4th share : None appearing: No proposal filed.

(2) Plaintiff no. 2 (Sh. Chander Mohan) 1/4th share : Proposal filed.

(3) Branch of Sh. Phool Chand 1/3rd of 50% share :

Sub-Branch 1 : Girdhari Lal Jain (1/18th share) : No proposal filed

Sub-Branch 2 : Bimal Prashad Jain (1/18th share) : No proposal filed

Sub-Branch 3 : Trilok Chand Jain (1/18th share) : No proposal filed

(4) Branch of Sh. Ishri (1/3rd of 50% share) : No proposal filed

(5) Branch of Sh. Nihal Chand (1/3rd of 50% share) : Proposal filed by Vikram
and Chikram

Thus, proposals have been filed only by 41.33% stakeholders.

Last and final opportunity is granted to all other parties to file their respective proposals, if any, suggesting amicable mode/way of partitioning the suit property.

In case, no proposal is filed before the NDOH, the proposals filed by majority of the parties would be considered foreclosing their right to file the same.

The parties are directed to share their respective proposals with the other parties.

List the matter for service of Smt. Radha, Smt. Indu and Sh. Shashank Jain and also for considering the proposals of the parties.

Renotify on **04.10.2025 at 2 PM.**

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
17.09.2025