

08.04.2025

CS No. 10164/16
Jag Mohan Gupta vs. Girdhari Lal

Present: Sh. Ayush Chauhan and Ms. Tia Sachdeva, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
no. 2A a/w Plaintiff no. 2a.
Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld. Counsel for Lrs of defendant no. 11.
Sh. Gurtejpal, Ld. Counsel for LR no. 1C through VC.
Sh. Srivats Kaushal, Ld. Counsel for Lr no. 2A, 2B, 3B and 3C, 1E and
4A.
Sh. S.S Jain, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 10.
Ms. Amita Singh, Ld. Counsel for Urban Improvement Company Pvt
Ltd.

Ld. Counsel for the Urban Improvement Company Pvt Ltd has filed on
record the DD for an amount of Rs. 1 Lac bearing DD No. 220974 dated 02.04.2025.

Let the same be deposited in the fixed deposit as per the previous order.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has filed on record the publication having
been carried out in two other newspaper having circulation at Telangana and Kanpur
on 14.03.2025.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has also filed on record an amended memo
of parties showing the share of various parties and the status of their
impleadment/non impleadment in the present suit. Copy of the said amended memo
of parties supplied to all the parties who are present in the court.

With respect to defendant no. 1

As per the amended memo of parties, the name or address of defendant
no. 1A(iii) and 1A(iv) who is stated to be the daughter of Late Sh. Girdhari Lal Jain
has not been mentioned.

The counsels appearing on behalf of defendant no. 1D and 1C(A) to
1C(D) are directed to apprise the name of the two daughters of Late Sh. Girdhari Lal
Jain i.e. defendant no. 1A(iii) and 1A(iv).

The counsels representing the branch of defendant no. 1 are also directed
to apprise the court as to whether in terms of the amended memo of parties filed
today, any of the said persons in the branch of defendant no. 1 have expired.

It has been submitted by majority of the counsels that they have despite applications, not received the digitized record of the present matter.

The aforesaid digitized record has been provided to counsel for defendant no. 1C(A) to 1C(D) who is directed to share the same with the other counsels so as to avoid any inconvenience.

Despite publication and despite the affixations carried out by the court, none has appeared on behalf of the other parties in respect of whom the publication has been carried out.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 1B(i) has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the said defendant. The same is taken on record.

The parties are directed to come prepared to address arguments on all the pending applications i.e. seeking impleadment/deletion from array of parties since service through publications has already been affected.

Renotify on **19.04.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 08.04.2025