

07.11.2024

CS No. 10164/16
Jag Mohan Gupta vs. Girdhari Lal

Present: Plaintiff no. 2A in person.
Ms. Aashna Arora, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1C(a) to 1C(d).
Sh. Anubhav, Proxy counsel for LRs of defendant no. 10.
Sh. Mayank Bansal, Ld, counsel for LRs of defendant no. 11.

On the LDOH, notice of the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC were directed to be issued to Lrs of defendant no. 1B. However, as recorded vide orders dated 14.10.2024, the same were returned back as unserved since the said persons were not found to be residing at the given address.

The plaintiff is directed to take fresh steps for the service of the LRs of defendant no. 1B through all modes on filing of PF/RC/Speed Post.

Court notice issued of the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC to the Lrs of defendant no. 1 i.e. defendant no. 1D and 1E has not been received back.

Issue fresh Court notice to defendant no. 1D and 1E at the address furnished by defendant no. 1C(a) to 1C(d).

Directions in terms of order dated 21.09.2024 were issued to defendant no. 2A and 2C and also to defendant no. 3B and 3C to apprise the court with respect to the status of LRs of defendant no. 2 and defendant no. 3.

It is submitted on behalf of the counsel appearing for defendant no. 2A, 2B, 3B and 3C that they would be filing an application seeking modification of the orders dated 21.09.2024 and who have not till date not apprised the court with respect to the status of the LRs of defendant no. 2 and defendant no. 3.

On the LDOH, notice of the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC were directed to be issued to Lrs of defendant no. 4. However, as recorded vide orders dated 14.10.2024, the same were returned back as unserved since the said persons were not found to be residing at the given address.

The plaintiff is directed to take fresh steps for the service of the LRs of defendant no. 4 through all modes on filing of PF/RC/Speed Post.

On the LDOH, notice of the application under Order 22 Rule 4 CPC were directed to be issued to Lrs of defendant no. 6. However, as recorded vide orders dated 14.10.2024, the same were returned back as unserved since the said persons were not found to be residing at the given address.

The plaintiff is directed to take fresh steps for the service of the LRs of defendant no. 6 through all modes on filing of PF/RC/Speed Post.

It is submitted on behalf of the plaintiff that as per the preliminary decree, the branch of the plaintiffs had 50% share in the suit property and rest of the defendants collectively had been allotted 50% share of the suit property.

The contesting parties are given an opportunity to apprise the court about the best recourse in which the suit property can be partitioned.

Renotify on **23.11.2024**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
07.11.2024