

**In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj**

**Title Suit No. 101 /2021**

**Ajay Kunwar @ Ajay Kumar.....Plaintiff**  
**V/S**

**Anil Kunwar & others.....Defendants**

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
16.04.2025	<p>Both parties file their attendances. A petition dated 07.12.2023 has been filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Order 01 Rule 10 CPC which was presented for hearing today on 16.04.2025. Submit the office records for orders in the second half.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dictated</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sub-Judge-I Narkatiyaganj</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Order</b></p>	
<b>Lateron</b> 16.04.2025	<p>Office submitted the record for order.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the Plaintiff prayed that parties to the suit, having agreed to compromised the case, with regard to the suit land and also other extraneous matter, though not in suit. That, some of the interested persons, naming Indu Devi, Shambhu Kunwar and Ampu Kumar, who are alleged to have interest in the suit property, so their appearance as of proper and necessary party, in the suit compromise are highly necessary, in the ends of justice, for the fair and equitable adjudication to settle the matter in compromise, once for all, which causes no prejudice to either of them.</p> <p>No rejoinder was filed by the defendants but the Plaintiffs' petition was orally opposed.</p> <p>Heard both the parties and perused the record. From perusal of the record it appears that</p>	

**In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj**

**Title Suit No. 101 /2021**

**Ajay Kunwar @ Ajay Kumar.....Plaintiff**  
**V/S**

**Anil Kunwar & others.....Defendants**

<p><b>Continue</b> <b>16.04.2025</b></p>	<p>the record has been coming for hearing on the petition dated 07.12.2023 of the Plaintiff. Parties to the suit, having agreed to compromised the case, with regard to the suit land and also other extraneous matter, though not in suit. Some of the interested persons, naming Indu Devi, Shambhu Kunwar and Ampu Kumar, who are alleged to have interest in the suit property, so their appearance as of proper and necessary party, in the suit compromise are highly necessary, in the ends of justice, for the fair and equitable adjudication to settle the matter in compromise, once for all, which causes no prejudice to either of them. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the petition of the Plaintiff dated 07.12.2023 is allowed and the learned counsel for the Plaintiff is directed that Within the time limit, he will add Indu Devi, Shambhu Kunwar and Ampu Kumar as defendant no. 5 to 7.</p> <p>Put up on 10-06-2025 for further action.</p> <p>Dictated</p> <p>Sub-Judge-I Narkatiaganj</p>	
--	--	--