

In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj

Title Suit No. 106/2017

CIS No.- TS 156/2018

Bhola Kumar & Others.....Plaintiff
V/S

Ashish Varma & others.....Defendants

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ORDER</u>	<u>REMARKS</u>
07.04.2026	Both parties filed their attendances. A petition has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 01 under order 26 rule 10(A) of C.P.C. on 23.01.2020 which rejoinder has been filed by plaintiff on 13.02.2020. The record has been fixed for order today on petition of the defendant no.01 dated 23.01.2020. Learned counsel of defendant no. 1 submitted that the Plaintiffs have filed the suit for confirmation of his right, title and possession over the suit land along with temporary and permanent injunction against defendant no. 01. Plaintiff pleaded in the plaint that they acquired the suit land from the father of defendant 2nd party through sale deed bearing khata 8 under khesra 141, 142 and khata 6, khesra 145 in total area 3 katha 10 dhur land with distinct boundary. The defendant no. 1 filed his W.S and stated singheshwar Prasad Varma alias Bhaiyaji sold 2 katha land of his share to the plaintiffs and 10 dhur land of plot 141 under khata 8 in favour of Ram Sawari Devi in 1964. In the sale deed executed by Shingheshwar Prasad Varma alias Bhaiyaji in favour of plaintiffs the name of father of defendant no. 1 has been shown in	

In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj

Title Suit No. 106/2017

CIS No.- TS 156/2018

Bhola Kumar & Others.....Plaintiff
V/S

Ashish Varma & others.....Defendants

Continue 07.04.2026	northern boundary which is going to show that the area 11 katha 1 dhur northern portion of plot no. 141 belong to the share of the father of defendant no.1. The averment of plaint and written statement of defendant no. 1 there is main dispute between both parties in respect of the area of suit plot no. 141, 142 of khata 8 and 145 of khata 6. So, it is necessary to appoint a survey knowing advocate commissioner for actual status of plot no. 141, 142 and 145 for better adjudication of this suit. It is therefore, prayed that be pleased to appoint a survey knowing advocate commissioner for measurment of suit plot no. 141, 142 and 145 in interest of justice. A rejoinder has been filed on behalf of plaintiff on 13.02.2020 and stated that the petition dated 23.01.2020 as filed by the defendant no. 1 is vexatious and it is not at all maintainable either in the eyes of law or on fact. The petition of the defendant is premature. The issue has been settled and now the case has been posted for the evidence of the plaintiff and as such the present petition is per-matured and hence it is fit to be rejected. The plaintiff will file petition for the appointment of survey knowing commissioner when the evidence of the plaintiff will begin. It	
--------------------------------	--	--

In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj

Title Suit No. 106/2017

CIS No.- TS 156/2018

Bhola Kumar & Others.....Plaintiff
V/S

Ashish Varma & others.....Defendants

Continue 07.04.2026	would be crystal clear from the perusal of the plaint and written statement that the land in question along with other land where in the share and possession in Singheshwar Prasad Verma alias Bhaiya Jee who sold the suit plot to the father of plaintiff and the ancestor of the defendant third party including other persons and hence the defendant first party has got no any legal right to file such type of petition for the measurement of the suit land. The defendant 2nd party has clearly admitted the averment of the plaint and such situation also the defendant first party got no legal right to file the petition regarding the land in question. It is, therefore, prayed that be pleased to reject the petition as filed by the defendant first party on 23.01.2020 for the ends of justice. Heard Ld. Counsels of both the parties and perused the record. From perusal of the record it appears that suggested issues have been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 on 05.12.2019 and the record was fixed on 19.12.2019 for filing original documents and hearing on framing of issues. In the mean time a petition under order 26 rule 10(a) CPC has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 on 23.01.2020. The suit has been filed by the plaintiffs and till today no	
--------------------------------	---	--

In the Court of Sukul Ram, Sub-Judge-I Cum ACJM, Narkatiaganj

Title Suit No. 106/2017

CIS No.- TS 156/2018

Bhola Kumar & Others.....Plaintiff
V/S

Ashish Varma & others.....Defendants

Continue 07.04.2026	documents have been filed on behalf of both parties due to which issue could not framed. The petition filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 under order 26 rule 10(a) CPC dated 23.01.2020 is per-matured and not maintainable. Hence, in the light of above order, petition of the defendant no. 01 dated 23.01.2020 under order 26 rule 10(a) is not maintainable and is hereby rejected. Both parties are directed to file their original documents and suggested issues. Put up on 22-05-2026 for further proceeding. Dictated Sub-Judge-I Narkatiyaganj	
--------------------------------	---	--