


**IN THE COURT OF Ms. SWATI PRIYADARSHNI SUB DIVISIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,**  
**BETTIAH, WEST CHAMPARAN**  
**Complaint Case No. 1794C of 2022**  
**CIS Registration No. Complaint (P) 1794 of 2022**

*Sharda Kumari      Versus      Raj Kishor Kumar and Ors.*

S.L.No.	Date of order of proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
	13.03.2026	<p>Record placed for order on the discharge petition filed on behalf of accused persons. The accused persons namely Raj Kishor Kumar, Gulab Mahto and Phoolpati Devi has filed his attendance and are present before court. On call, both the Ld. Counsel for the complainant and accused persons alongwith their counsel appeared before the court.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel of the accused submitted before the court that both the parties have compromised and settled their disputes. A compromise petition dated 03.01.2026 duly signed and identified by their respective counsel is on record. The evidence of Complainant was recorded in the light of compromise. She stated in her deposition that she has no problem with accused person. The complainant does not want further litigate or give evidence in the case and stated no objection on discharge petition. Therefore, there is no sufficient material on record to frame charge against accused persons, order be passed as the accused persons are entitled to be discharged.</p> <p>Heard the submission of the Ld. Counsel of both the parties and perused the record. Upon perusal it transpires that this complaint petition is filed by complainant Sharda Kumari against the above-named accused person and other five accused persons as named in complaint petition under section 323,341,498A,307,504,506 and <math>\frac{3}{4}</math> Dowry Prohibition Act on 11.08.2022. After recording S.A. of the Complainant and statements of enquiry witnesses, a prima facie case was made out under section 498A of IPC &amp; 4 Dowry Prohibition Act against accused Raj Kishor Kumar, Gulab Mahto and Phoolpati Devi as named in complaint petition was summoned to face trial vide order dated 25.05.2023. The appearance of the accused person was completed and the record was pending for evidence before charge. Thereafter the complainant appeared before the court and her before charge evidence was recorded 03.01.2026 in the light of compromise. In her evidence before charge, she has specifically admitted the factum of compromise with all accused persons and also stated that it is thumb impression on the compromise petition and permission petition which is identified by her counsel. The accused persons have not assaulted her and demanded any dowry. She further stated that she does not want to litigate further and she has no objection</p>	

Continued 13.03.2026	<p>if case is disposed off. Thereafter before charge evidence was closed and in meanwhile a discharge petition dated 10.03.2026 was filed by the Ld. Counsel of accused person stating that there is no evidence or material on record against the accused persons for framing charge against them. The Ld. Counsel of the complainant present before has stated her no objection on the discharge petition of the accused persons. Hence, Ld. Counsel prayed to discharge the accused person in the end of justice.</p> <p>From the perusal of the material available on record, the complainant has been examined by the court who has supported the factum of compromise and stated that she does want to litigate, therefore the present case be disposed off in the light of compromise. Under existing facts and circumstances, there is no material on record, either oral or documentary as sufficient to frame charge against accused person. There appears no reason to continue with the case. Hence, the petition dated 10.03.2026 is allowed and accordingly, all the above-named accused person namely Raj Kishor Kumar, Gulab Mahto and Phoolpati Devi is hereby discharged and the case is disposed off. The accused person as well as his bailors/sureties are also discharged from the liability of their respective bail bonds. Office is directed to recall all the processes (if any) and deposit the case record in the record room as per rules.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> S.D.J.M Bettiah at West Champaran</p>	