

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR

A.B.P. NO. 3041 of 2025

CNR No. BRVA01-011453-2025

Arising out Bidupur P.S. Case No. 537/2025

U/ss – 103(1), 61(2) of B.N.S.

=====

(1) Suraj Kumar, S/o: Raghunath Rai @ Raghunath Singh
(2) Golu Kumar @ Shahil Kumar, S/o: Raghunath Rai @ Raghunath Singh
(3) Sonu Kumar, S/o: Raghunath Rai @ Raghunath Singh
(4) Radha Devi, W/o: Amit Kumar

.....
Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....
Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner

: Sri Triloki Singh, Ld. Adv.

For the State

: Sri Rajkumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

For the Informant

**: Sri Manish Kr. Piyush, Rupnesh Kr.
Ratnakar, Ld. Adv.**

05.05.2026

Order

1. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners-(1) **Suraj Kumar**, (2) **Golu Kumar @ Shahil Kumar**, (3) **Sonu Kumar**, (4) **Radha Devi**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with aforesaid case, **Bidupur P.S. Case No. 537/2025**, U/ss – 103(1), 61(2) of B.N.S.

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioners pressed the anticipatory bail petition and submitted that earlier no regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner in this Court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. That the petitioners have got no bad antecedent. That the petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. That the allegation alleged against the petitioners are wrong and concocted one. That the petitioners did not do any act as

05.05.2026

alleged in the F.I.R. That the deceased with his wife petitioner Radha Devi had been living separately at Paswan Chowk Hajipur with their children due to behaviour of his father (informant) and his brother. That the deceased was very fed up due to property share not given by informant (father) and due to behaviour of the deceased father. He has done suicide on 11.08.2025 at village Panapur Sukhanand and on information the petitioner Radha Devi to her sasural with other petitioners and also present at the time of post-mortem and funeral of deceased. That thereafter three days of death of deceased the informant lodged F.I.R. only with a view to disposed Radha Devi from property of deceased. That the present case is not a murder but this is a case of suicide. That the petitioners are ready to abide by terms and conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of B.N.S.S. That the petitioners are men of means and ready to furnish sound and suitable bailors to the satisfaction of the Court.

3. The Ld. A.P.P. & Ld. Adv. Of the informant vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioners are named in the F.I.R., there is direct and specific allegations against them and further investigation is still going on.

4. As per written application of informant-**Santlal Rai**, the prosecution's case in short is that his son Amit Kumar was married to Radha Devi and on the pressure of his wife, his son used to live with his wife Radha Devi in a rented house behind Rambharose Hindu Hotel at Paswan Chowk. Meanwhile, the said Radha Devi had an illicit relationship with Dayanand Dibakar, whose Mobile No. is 8789957134. When informant's son used to protest, the said

<u>05.05.2026</u>	Dayanand Dibakar used to threaten his son with murder and in the same sequence, on 11.08.25 the said Radha Devi and Dayanand Dibakar and Radha Devi's brother Sonu Kumar, Golu Kumar, Suraj Kumar murdered his son Amit Kumar and brought the dead body in a bolero car whose number is BR-01GP 2629, threw it at his door and ran away. On receiving the information, the police came and took the dead body away. They took him for a post-mortem examination. Informant claim that the above-mentioned people conspired together and murdered his son. 5. Heard both the parties. Perused the L.C.R., and available C.D. The accused-petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and as per F.I.R. there is direct and specific allegations against these petitioners of committing the crime. In C.D. Para-1 inquest report of deceased is mentioned, according to this report the reason of death appears to be due to suffocation. In C.D. Para-2 statement of informant has mentioned, he supported his case. In C.D. Para-5 witness Chandari Devi's statement is mentioned, she supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-6 witness Aman Kumar's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-7 witness Meena Devi's statement is mentioned, she supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-15 Post-Mortem report of deceased is mentioned and separately attached with C.D., during this examination following ante-mortem finding were seen. External:- Eyes and mouth closed, bloody discharge from nose, Linear scratch mark over left abdomen of length 10 cm. O/D: Skull-intact, meninges and brain parenchyma intact and congested, Neck-Trachea intact, mucosa congested, Thoracic Cavity-Intact, both lungs were intact and congested heart intact, hypertrophy
---------------------------------	--

<u>05.05.2026</u>	heart muscle, both chamber of heart was empty. Cause of death-opinion reserved till forensic examination report availability of viscera preserved. In C.D. Para-32 supervision report of S.D.P.O. Sadar-1 is mentioned, further investigation is still going on. 6. Hence, in the light of above said, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to these accused-petitioners, the same is hereby, Rejected. 7. O/C will send the copy of this order along with L.C.R. to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful. 8. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S. District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Vaishali at Hajipur, 05.05.2026
-------------------	--