

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali**  
**A.B.P. No. 2865/2025**

Babloo Paswan, aged about 26 years S/o Late Shivjee Bhagat,  
Resident of village – Araj Sahbajpur, P.S. - Hajipur Sadar, District – Vaishali.  
- Petitioner

Verses

State of Bihar - O.P.

---

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Mr. Manoj Kumar, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Learned counsel for the complainant :- Sri Chandrabhushan Singh, advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,  
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

**Date of order: - 24<sup>th</sup>. April 2026**

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel for the complainant on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 1257/2024 in which cognizance has been taken u/ss. 323 & 498(A) I.P.C..

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed earlier in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per complaint petition of the complainant Daulat Kumari is that she was married with the petitioner on 13.11.2022 and after marriage she went to her Sasural, where after some days all the accused persons started demanding Rs.2 lakhs as dowry for business purpose. On refusal by her, all the accused persons assaulted and tortured her mentally and physically. Lastly on 05.05.2024 all the accused persons after assaulting and snatching all her "Stridhan" ousted her from her matrimonial home. Thereafter she came to her Maikhe and narrated all the story to her parents and brother. On 25.05.2025 a Panchayat was held, in which all the accused persons remained adamant on their demand and refused to keep her.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

24.04.2026

falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. Petitioner is husband of the complainant. No occurrence as alleged took place. Petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that both parties have settled their dispute to live separately and filed a compromise petition before mediation center duly signed by both parties with certain conditions. The accused petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused trial court record. From perusal of the trial court record, it transpires that the petitioner is husband of the complainant and there is allegation against him of demanding dowry and subjecting to torture and cruelty.

From the record, it appears that the matter was referred to Mediation Centre for mediation between the parties, where both parties have agreed to live separately and during mediation, it was decided that petitioner will give Rs.1,50,000/- to the complainant in four installment @ Rs. 37,500/- per month.

The petitioner Babloo Paswan and complainant/wife Daulat Kumari appeared physically before the court today with their learned counsel. They have stated that they have compromised the case and decided to live separately in terms of the conditions agreed before Mediation Center. A petition to this effect duly signed by petitioner/husband and complainant/wife has been filed.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named petitioner is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned

(A.B.P. No.2865 /2025)  
(Babloo Paswan Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

24.04.2026

court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

The copy of mediation report be also sent to the concerned court.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	24.04.2026
Date of Uploading	28.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.