

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR

A.B.P. NO. 554 of 2026

CNR No. BRVA01-002009-2026

Arising out Lalganj P.S. Case No. 57/2026

U/Ss– 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) B.N.S.

- =====
- (1) **Bipin Kumar, S/o: Virendra Rai**
(2) **Prahlad Kumar, S/o: Virendra Rai**
(3) **Raushan Kumar, S/o: Dileep Rai**
(4) **Devanti Devi, W/o: Virendra Rai**
(5) **Ranju Devi, W/o: Dileep Rai**

.....
Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....
Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner

: Sri Praveen Kumar, Ld. Adv.

For the State

: Sri Rajkumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

For the Informant

: Sri Deepak Kumar, Ld. Adv.

20.05.2026

Order

1. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners-(1) **Bipin Kumar**, (2) **Prahlad Kumar**, (3) **Raushan Kumar**, (4) **Devanti Devi**, (5) **Ranju Devi**, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with aforesaid case, **Lalganj P.S. Case No. 57/2026**, U/Ss– 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) B.N.S.

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioners pressed the anticipatory bail petition and submitted that no petition for anticipatory or regular bail has been moved earlier on behalf of the petitioner either before this Court or before the Hon'ble Court. That besides this case Lalganj P.S. Case No. 193/14, is pending against the petitioner Bipin Kumar, Prahlad Kumar, Devanti Devi and Ranju Devi and there is no any previous bad antecedent against remaining petitioners. That the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and the entire prosecution case is false and concocted. That the petitioners have been implicated in this false case due to the land dispute which will appear from the contents of the F.I.R. That it

20.05.2026	is relevant to state have that a title suit no. 591/2023 is going on between the parties which is pending in the Court of sub-Judge VI Vaishali. In which plaintiff is petitioner Dileep and Defendant No.1 is husband of the informant. That another fact is that one Shyankishar Rai father of petitioner Nitish Kumar Ray had given the petition against the informant and others before Lalganj Police on 30.01.2026 then the informant of this case had filed this false case alleging there in false allegations. That it is also relevant to state here that the marriage of Sushma Kumari, Sister of petitioner No. 1 & 2 and daughter of petitioner no.4 is fix on 25.02.2026. That there is bonafide land dispute is going on between the parties. That the petitioners apprehends arrest at the hand of the police in the false prosecution case. That the petitioners are ready to abide the conditions imposed by the Court U/s 482 B.N.S.S. That the petitioners are a men of means and there is no chance of there absconding or tempering with witnesses. That the petitioners are also ready to furnish sound suitable bailors to the satisfaction of the Court. 3. The Ld. A.P.P. and Ld. Adv. Of Informant opposed the anticipatory bail petition, and submitted that, further investigation is still going on. 4. As per written application of the informant-Girija Devi, the prosecution's case in short is that, On 29.01.2026 at about 08:00 am. Informant was working on her land. Meanwhile, (1) Vipin Kumar, (2) Prahlad Kumar, (3) Raushan Kumar, (4) Nitish Kumar, and (5) Sushma Kumari and wife of Dileep Rai and Virendra Rai, all came to her land and began abusing her. When Informnat protested, all of the above mentioned people attacked on her with intent to kill. Raushan Kumar and Vipin Kumar struck her on the head to bleed profusely. Informant fell to the ground, unconscious, seriously injured. Taking advantage of this situation, Sushma Kumari snatched the gold jewelry, she was wearing. Informant remained unconscious for some time. Her cries brought the villagers together, and he life was somehow saved. After the incident, Informant received fist aid at
--------------------------	--

<u>20.05.2026</u>	the referral hospital Lalganj, from where she was taken to Hajipur Sadar. 5. Heard both the parties Perused the available C.D. and material available on record. In C.D. Para-19 supervision report of police inspector Lalganj is mentioned, in which it is stated that informant and petitioner both are diyad-gotiya, and earlier there has always been abusive language and quarreling between both parties over the land dispute. Injury report is not available with C.D. Ld. Adv. Of informant submitted the photocopy of prescription of doctor which says physical assault and filed the hazari of informant. Further investigation is still going on. 6. Hence, regard being had to above mentioned facts and circumstances, application for anticipatory bail of the above mentioned petitioners are allowed and I direct that, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within fifteen days (15 days) from the date of receipt of copy of this order, petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing their bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld Court below subject to the condition under the provision of Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Further petitioners are directed to file undertaking about their criminal antecedent and that they will fully co-operate in trial and do not hamper with the evidence. 7. O/C will send the copy of this order to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful. 8. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S. District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Vaishali at Hajipur, 20.05.2026
---------------------------------	--