

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR**B.P. NO. 718 of 2026****Arising out of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 115/2026****U/ss – 25(1)a, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S.**

=====

Abhishek Sukla, S/o: Mantun Sukla **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar **Opposite Party**

=====

Appearance :**For the petitioner : Sri Ravi Bhusan Chaudhary, Ld. Adv.****For the State : Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.****B.P. NO. 725 of 2026****Arising out of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 115/2026****U/ss – 25(1)a, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S.**

=====

Mukul Kumar, S/o: Ranjit Singh **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar **Opposite Party**

=====

Appearance :**For the petitioners : Sri Amresh Kr. Singh, Praveen Kr. Singh, Ld. Adv.****For the State : Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.****B.P. NO. 748 of 2026****Arising out of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 115/2026****U/ss – 25(1)a, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S.**

=====

Navin, S/o: Hosiyar Singh **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar **Opposite Party**

=====

Appearance :**For the petitioner : Sri Amresh Kr. Singh, Praveen Kr. Singh, Ld. Adv.****For the State : Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.**

B.P. NO. 772 of 2026

Arising out of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 115/2026

U/ss – 25(1)a, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S.

=====

Lokesh Kumar, S/o: Bhim Singh **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar **Opposite Party**

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner : **Sri Amresh Kr. Singh, Praveen Kr. Singh, Ld. Adv.**
For the State : **Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.**

B.P. NO. 783 of 2026

Arising out of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 115/2026

U/ss – 25(1)(a), 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S.

=====

Ankit Kumar, S/o: Late Mohan Prasad **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar **Opposite Party**

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner : **Sri Manoj Kumar, Ld. Adv.**
For the State : **Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.**

22.07.2026	<u>ORDER</u> 1. Heard, all the bail petitions of accused/petitioners filed separately but heard together as arising out of the same P.S. (Ganga Bridge P.S.) Case No. 115/2026, U/Ss. 25(1)a, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act and 61 of B.N.S. 2. This petition for regular bail has been filed by the Ld. Adv. on the behalf of the accused, namely, Abhishek Sukla, Mukul Kumar, Navin, Lokesh Kumar, Ankit Kumar who are in custody since 03-05-2026 in connection with aforesaid case, pending before Ld. Court below.
-------------------	--

22.07.2026

3. Ld. Advocates on behalf of the petitioners pressed the bail petitions and submitted that the petitioners have not filed any bail application either anticipatory or regular earlier either before this Ld. Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna in this case. That the accused-petitioner, namely, **Abhishek Sukla** has one criminal antecedent, which is Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 15/21. Petitioner, namely, **Mukul Kumar** has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner, namely, **Navin** has one criminal antecedent, which is Bhiwani (Haryana) P.S. Case No. 444/22. Petitioner, namely, **Lokesh Kumar** has two criminal antecedent, which is (i) City Janjhar Haryana P.S. Case No. 67/2026 and (ii) City Janjhar Haryana P.S. Case No. 57/2026. Petitioner, namely, **Ankit Kumar** has one antecedent, which is Bidupur P.S. Case No. 561/2025. That, the petitioners are in judicial custody since 03.05.2026 in above referred case. That, the petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed any offence and petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case at the instance of his enemies or on mere suspicion. That, the present case is false and concocted one. The petitioners reached there after seeing the gathered crowd and the petitioners, who have wrongly arrested by the police on the basis of suspicion and made an accused in this false case. That, from the perusal of the case record no any specific allegation overtact the said incident of the petitioners and the petitioners have no concern of the said shop/room. That, from the above facts and circumstances no case make out against the petitioners. That, the petitioners are man of means and have deep roots in the society if he enlarged on bail, there is neither chance of his absconding nor tampering with the prosecution evidence. That, the petitioners are ready to abide by all the terms and conditions which are imposed upon them by the Ld. Court. That, the petitioners are law abiding citizen having every respect and regard for the law of the land. That, the petitioners are further ready

22.07.2026

to furnish sound bail-bond of local bailors to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court.

4. Per contra, ld. A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed prayer for regular bail. Submitted that the accused-petitioners are named in the F.I.R., and there is direct allegation against them, they were arrested on the spot with incriminating articles. The case is found true against them, after investigation police submitted the charge-sheet against these accused-petitioners.

5. As per written application of Informant-**Deepak Kumar(S.H.O. Ganga Bridge P.S)**, the prosecution's case in short is that, on 02.05.2026, at about 15:55 hrs. Deepak Kumar (SHO, Gangabridge), received confidential information that illegal arms were being bought and sold in a rented room at Rajlakshmi Traders, Hajipur Chowk, involving local accused and persons from Haryana. After informing senior officers, a police team conducted a raid and apprehended five accused- Lokesh, Naveen, Mukul Kumar, Ankit Kumar, and Abhisekh Shukla. Seeing the police action, some people from the vicinity gathered. The gathered people were requested to become witnesses, but they refused to become witnesses. In such a situation, the police officer considering PTC Bablu Kumar and PTC Ranjan Kumar Singh as independent witnesses, after giving their statement and duly searching the room, During the search, one country-made 7.65 mm pistol loaded with two live cartridges was recovered. None of the accused could produce any valid licence or documents for the weapon. During interrogation, the accused disclosed that the weapon had been procured from the main supplier, Rifle Rai @ Amit Kumar of Hajipur, for Rs. 40,000/- and that arrangements had been made to purchase another weapon for Rs. 45,000/- A subsequent raid was conducted at the

22.07.2026

supplier's residence, but he escaped taking advantage of the darkness. Investigation further revealed that Mukul Kumar and Ankit Kumar had using this for storing and trafficking illegal firearms. The accused were found to have conspired in the purchase, sale, storage, and smuggling of illegal arms.

6. Heard both sides, perused the L.C.R., along with C.D., there is direct allegations against these accused-petitioners. They were arrested on the spot with incriminating articles. In C.D. Para-2 search and seizure memo is mentioned, according to this memo police recovered one country-made pistol, in which written 7.65 mm, body length 9 inch, diagonal length 11 inch, on which Auto Made Pistol Made in Japan and on the other side 765 round NQ 700 marked, when unlocking the pistol two live cartridges were found inside the pistol, in which written on KF7.65. In C.D. Para-3 re-statement of informant is mentioned, he supported his case. In C.D. Para-4 witness Abhinash Kumar's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-5 witness Pintu Kumar's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-6 witness Bablu Kumar's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-7 witness Ranjan Kumar Singh's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-8 witness Arvinda Kumar's and Nisha Kumari's statement is mentioned, they supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-9 and 10 confessional statement of Mukul Kumar and Lokesh has been mentioned, in which they confessed that, they involved in this crime. In C.D. Para-42 supervision report of S.D.P.O. Sadar-1 Hajipur is mentioned, according to this report the case is found true against these accused-petitioners, U/s. 61 B.N.S. & 25(1)A, 25(1-b)a, 26, 29, 35 of Arms Act. In C.D. Para-48 firearms inspection report has been mentioned, according to this report the seized arms is active and in

22.07.2026

working condition. In C.D. Para-62 criminal history of accused-petitioners are mentioned, Petitioner, namely, **Ankit Kumar** has one antecedent, which is Bidupur P.S. Case No. 561/2025. In C.D. Para-63 2nd supervision report of S.P. Vaishali is mentioned, according to this report the case is found true. In C.D. Para-67 criminal history of accused-petitioner, namely, **Abhishek Shukla** is mentioned, he has one criminal antecedent i.e. Gangabridge P.S. Case No. 15/21. But as per bail petitions accused-petitioners, namely, **Navin** has one criminal antecedent, which is Bhiwani (Haryana) P.S. Case No. 444/22. and petitioner, namely, **Lokesh Kumar** has two criminal antecedent, which is (i) City Janjhar Haryana P.S. Case No. 67/2026 and (ii) City Janjhar Haryana P.S. Case No. 57/2026. After investigation the case is found true against these petitioners, and police submitted the chargesheet against these accused-petitioners.

7. Hence, in the light of above said, I am not inclined to grant privilege of bail to these accused-petitioners, the same is hereby, **Rejected**.

8. O/C will send the copy of this order along with L.C.R. to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful.

9. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S.

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III,
Vaishali at Hajipur,
22.07.2026