

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 1482/2026

Rinku Devi, aged about 38 years W/o Bhola Ram
Residents of village – Imadpur, P.S. - Baligaon, Distt. - Vaishali.

Verses	-	Petitioners
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri Sujeet Kr. Sharma, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 13th. July 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Baligaon P.S. Case No.190/2025 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of informant Chandra Vijay Ram is that mustard crop was planted in his land and in his planted land all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. including the petitioner who are his villagers forcibly started watering on 07.12.2025. The informant's father Rameshwar Ram, mother Guliya Devi, wife Meena Devi, son Arjun Kumar forbade them, on which all the accused persons started abusing and assaulting to them with iron-rod, lathi, danda indiscriminately, due to which his father has sustained head injury and others have also sustained injury. The accused persons also tore blouse of his wife and made her nude.

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.
13.07.2026

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. This case is counter blast of Baligaon P.S. Case No. 192/2025. The informant's father Rameshwar Ram has filed a Title Suit No. 1749/2025 against the co-accused Bhikhari Ram @ Ajay Ram for the same land. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The co-accused persons have already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide A.B.P. no. 3438/2025 Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the trial court record and case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and case diary written up to Para 65 dated 19.06.2026, it transpires that there is general and omnibus allegation of assault against the petitioner. This case is counter blast of Baligaon P.S. Case No. 192/2025. A Title Suit No. 1749/2025 is pending between the parties for the same land. Due to watering the land, the alleged occurrence has been committed. It further appears that the alleged occurrence was occurred on 07.12.2025 and the F.I.R. was lodged on 08.12.2025. The accused petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of this bail petition. The other co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 13.03.2026 passed in A.B.P. No. 3438/2025. The injury report of injured Meena Devi mentioned in Para 35 of the case diary shows that she has **sustained** :-

Bodyache, which is simple in nature.

The injury report of injured Ramesh Ram mentioned in Para 58 of the case diary shows that he has **sustained** :-

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

13.07.2026

Lacerated wound on forehead (Approx 2x ½" x 1/2".
Back pain.

On the basis of X-ray report, the said injury is simple in nature caused by hard blunt object.

The injury report of other injured namely Rameshwar Ram, Arjun Ram and Guliya Devi have not been made available by the I.O. and learned P.P. despite several directions given to them.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner, is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, she will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	13.07.2026
Date of Uploading	14.07.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.